# **Trans-Neptunian Objects** A Brief history, Dynamical Structure, and Characteristics of its Inhabitants



#### John Stansberry

Space Telescope Science Institute

IAC Winter School 2016

- 1930: Pluto discovered
  - Photographic survey for Planet X
    - Directed by Percival Lowell (Lowell Observatory, Flagstaff, Arizona)
    - Efforts from 1905 1929 were fruitless
    - discovered by Clyde Tombaugh, Feb. 1930 (33 cm refractor)
      - Survey continued into 1943
- Kuiper, or Edgeworth-Kuiper, Belt?
  - 1950's: Pluto represented (K.E.), or had scattered (G.K.) a primordial, population of small bodies – thus KBOs or EKBOs
  - J. Fernandez (1980, MNRAS 192) did pretty clearly predict something similar to the trans-Neptunian belt of objects
  - Trans-Neptunian Objects (TNOs), trans-Neptunian region best?
  - See http://www2.ess.ucla.edu/~jewitt/kb/gerard.html

- 1978: Pluto's moon, Charon, discovered
  - Photographic astrometry survey
    - 61" (155 cm) reflector
    - James Christy (Naval Observatory, Flagstaff)
  - Technologically, discovery was possible decades earlier
    - Saturation of Pluto images masked the presence of Charon
- 1988: Discovery of Pluto's atmosphere
  - Stellar occultation
    - Kuiper airborne observatory (KAO: 90 cm) + 7 sites
    - Measured atmospheric refractivity vs. height
    - Spectroscopy suggested N2 would dominate  $\rightarrow$  P(z), T(z)

### 1992: Pluto's orbit explained

- Outward migration by Neptune results in capture into 3:2 resonance
- Pluto's inclination implies Neptune migrated outward ~5 AU

- 1992: Discovery of 2<sup>nd</sup> TNO
  - 1976 92: Multiple dedicated surveys for small ( $m_V > 20$ ) TNOs
  - Fall 1992: Jewitt and Luu discover 1992 QB<sub>1</sub>
    - Orbit confirmed as ~circular, trans-Neptunian in 1993
  - 1993 4: 5 more TNOs discovered
- c. 1997: Dynamical structure of KB revealed
- 2001: Discovery of 1<sup>st</sup> binary TNO (after Pluto...)
  - 1998 WW31 shown to be binary by Viellet et al.

### 2003 – 5: Discovery of Large and Strange TNOs

- Eris (03UB<sub>313</sub>): Pluto-size (D=2400km), 2 moons, CH<sub>4</sub> ice spectrum
- Sedna (03VB<sub>12</sub>): D=1500km, CH<sub>4</sub> ice, very large orbit with high e and i
- Haumea (03EL<sub>61</sub>): D=1500km, 4-hr rotation, 2 moons, pure H2O-ice spectrum
- Makemake (05FY<sub>9</sub>): D=1500km, no moons, most CH<sub>4</sub> ice of any object

- 2005: Pluto's moons Nix and Hydra discovered
- 2007: First TNO collisional family
  - Haumea and several other TNOs result from disruption of a larger parent body
- Present Day:
  - ~2500 known TNOs
    - $\sim 10^5$  with D > 100 km thought to exist
  - Orbits mostly 32 AU < a < 50 AU</li>
  - Dynamical classes record effects of outer planet migration
    - Hot & Cold Classicals; Resonants; Scattered Disk
  - ~30% of cold-classicals are binary
  - Extreme diversity of composition and color
  - Sizes & albedos known for > 100
  - Masses known for 38, Densities for 16

• 1998





Plot prepared by the Minor Planet Center (2001 Mar 1).





Plot prepared by the Minor Planet Center (2011 June18).





# **Dynamical Structure beyond Neptune**

J. Stansberry, LMATC talk

### **Trans-Neptunian Dynamical Structure**



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

### **Trans-Neptunian Dynamical Structure**



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

# **Pluto's Story**

- Pluto's Neptune-resonant orbit explained in 1993
  - Giant planet orbital migration due to planetesimal scattering
    - Jupiter and Saturn migrate inward, Uranus and Neptune outward (Fernandez & Ip, 1984)
  - Resonances sweep outward w/ Uranus and Neptune (Malhotra, 1993)
    - Neptune's resonances can trap planetesimals as it moves outward
- "Nice" model
  - V. low present-day mass of KB
  - Observed dynamical structure (Gomes 2003, Levison, Morbidelli et al.)



# Magnitude & Implications of GP Migration

- U & N migrate many AU
- Model explains:
  - KB dynamical structure
  - Low present-day mass of the KB
  - Non-0 GP eccentricities
  - Timing, projectile makeup of LHB
- Requires particular initial conditions
  - Saturn starts just inside the Jovian 2:1
  - 30 M<sub>E</sub> primoridal Kuiper disk of planetesimals
  - Disk truncated at 30AU



### Neptune's Orbit, Resonances Migrate



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

# **Planetesimal Trapping, Migration**



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

### **Trans-Neptunian Dynamical Structure**



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

### **Trans-Neptunian Dynamical Structure**



**Fig. 1.** *Left:* Flowchart for the outer solar system nomenclature. When orbital elements are involved they should be interpreted as the osculating barycentric elements. *Right:* A cartoon of the nomenclature scheme (not to scale). The boundaries between the Centaurs, JFCs, scattered disk, and inner Oort cloud are based on current orbital elements; the boundaries *are not* perihelion distance curves. Resonance inhabitance and the "fuzzy" SDO boundary are determined by 10-m.y. numerical integrations. The classical belt/ detached TNO split is an arbitrary division.

Also see Elliot et al. 2005 for the Deep Ecliptic Survey classification scheme.

### **Kuiper Belt Dynamical Structure**



### **Kuiper Belt History & Dynamical Structure**

- Pluto's discovery, and the accident that it was a resonant object, led to the hypothesis that Neptune migrated outward.
- The discovery of 1992 QB1 demonstrated that there might be two dynamical populations beyond the orbit of Neptune.
- Within 10 years it was known that there were KBOs in multiple resonances, a classical belt, objects scattered by Neptune, and that giant planet migration had occurred.
- Soon it became clear that there was a dynamically cold population, that binaries were much more common than elsewhere in the solar system.
- By 2008 the discovery of the Kuiper belt and characterization of KBO orbits had completely re-written our concept of solar system history.

# **TNO Physical Properties**

# **Thermal Method: Size and Visual Albedo**

Large low-albedo objects reflect as much light as small high-albedo objects...

but small high-albedo objects are much fainter at thermal wavelengths (> 20um)



### **TNO Albedos and Sizes:** Spitzer Results



### **TNO Size & Albedo: Herschel Results**



### Note that red $\neq$ dark, at least for cold-classical TNOs...

# **Classical TNO Albedo and Size Distributions**

Vilenius et al. 2014



#### Classical TNO Albedo, Size and Inclination Vilenius *et al.* 2014



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

### New Moons of TNO Dwarf-planets





# **TNO Binaries : Apparent Characteristics**

- 75 known KBO binaries
  - 43 are Classical KBOs
- Mass is known for 38
  - Density is known for 16 of those
- Probably many more at separations < 0.5"
- Fainter (smaller) objects tend to have similar brightness (size) satellites

# Classical TNO Binarity vs. Inclination

- Classical KBOs are dynamically 'Hot' or 'Cold'
  - Cold-classicals are redder, have higher albedos
- KBO Binaries are common
  - ~10% of all KBOs
  - ~2% MBAs
- CKBO Binaries very common

   ~20% of 101 CKBOs
- CCKBO Binaries even more so
   ~30% of 58 in CC sample



# **TNO Densities**



# Size & Albedo: Herschel Results



Note that red  $\neq$  dark, at least for cold-classical TNOs...

# Hydrodynamic Escape of Volatiles from TNOs



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

# **TNO Physical Properties**

- The albedos of KBOs span the full range found for all other small bodies in the solar system, and that range significantly exceeds the range seen for asteroids, Centaurs and comets.
- The densities of large KBOs are consistent with roughly equal portions of H2O ice and silicates.
  - The densities of small KBOs challenge our understanding of strength vs. gravitational forces, and collisional processing.
- KBO satellites appear to have formed either by collisions (small satellites of large KBOs), or by a co-accretional process (small, coequal sized systems).
- The Kuiper belt contains 8 of the solar system's 9 dwarf planets.
- KBO dwarf planets in many cases are large enough to have retained significant amounts of volatile ices over the age of the solar system.

# **TNO Composition**

# **TNO Color vs Dynamical Class**

Hainaut et al., 2015

Color in the ~visual range: V-R represented as reflectance change per 0.1um

Cumulative Fraction



- For many years only color was known for a significant sample of TNOs, and it varied with dynamical classification.
  - Relation to other properties (albedo, composition, size) wasn't clear.
  - 'Tholins' (highly processed organics) were a candidate reddening agent.

### In Search of the "Red Stuff" – nIR Colors



Averaged photometric spectra for various classes of objects.

Still not very revealing...

Hainaut et al., 2015

IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

### In Search of the "Red Stuff" – nIR Spectra



Spectra of TNOs are still fairly rare, typically low SNR and resolution.

Barucci et al. 2008, SSBN

Still not very revealing... What is the red stuff?

For more spectra / composition info, see e.g.: Guilbert et al. 2009 Barucci et al. 2011 (very nice summary of ESO/VLT and other spectra) recent papers by Brown &/or Fraser

### **TNO Color vs Albedo**



### **TNO Color & Albedo vs. Dynamical Class**



Color and albedo are correlated for TNOs in general, and within some dynamical groups

Lacerda et al., 2015

### **TNO Albedo-Color correlation means...?**

- One answer nothing very profound
  - Mixtures of dark-gray stuff and more reflective red stuff naturally show the same correlation
  - However dark-red stuff and more reflective gray stuff doesn't work.



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

### **TNO Albedo-Color correlation means...?**

Figure courtesy of N. Pinilla-Alonso, in prep.

- Another answer.... Something Profound!
  - Spitzer 3.6 & 4.5 micron data show red objects also have strong absorptions at those wavelengths



# **Spitzer/IRAC Composition Constraints**

Figures courtesy of N. Pinilla-Alonso, in prep.



- IRAC 3.6 & 4.5 micron photometry + vis & nIR
  - Silicates, H2O, different kinds of organics can be differentiated
  - Molecular ices can as well (N2, CO, CO2, CH4)

### Spitzer/IRAC: K/3.6/4.5 Composition Map





Many TNOs and Centaurs exhibit absorptions > 2.5 microns.

There is almost no spectroscopic data at those wavelengths (Pluto, Triton)...

# Spitzer/IRAC: K/3.6/4.5 Composition Map



Figures courtesy of N. Pinilla-Alonso, *in prep*. See also dalleOre 2015.

- Ice components map into unique regions in K + IRAC color/color space
- Much more Spitzer data available
  - ~50 KBOs + Centaurs w/ decent IRAC colors

### Water Ice on TNOs



### **TNOs Composition Catalog**

Table 4									Table	e 4 (continued)									
and N for	"no detection"). H <sub>2</sub> Ovar m	eans that th	e presence o	f water ice is	s varialble on the object sur	face.	reported	(1 Ioi Sure detection, 1 Ioi	N	Object	Туре	Class	Н	Ices	D (%)	$\sigma_D$	Reference	Ice detectio	
N	Object	Type	Class	Н	kes	D (%)	$\sigma_D$	Reference	ke	00000 0 1 1	10				11	6	LP-Ba11		
1	2060 Chiron	Cen	BB	6.5	H <sub>2</sub> Ovar	~5		Fos99	Y 34	63252 2001 BL	JC. Cen	BR	9.0	None?	-		Dor03	N	And in case of the second s
						10		Lu00 Br00a	35	65489 Ceto	SDO	-	6.3	H <sub>2</sub> O	14	4	Bark08	Y	and the second se
						0		Ro03	36	66652 1999 RZ <sub>253</sub>	Cl	RR	5.9	None?	<0	14	Bark08	N	
2	5145 Pholus	Cen	RR	7.1	H <sub>2</sub> O, CH <sub>3</sub> OH	12	3	Cr98	Y 38	79360 1997 CS2	C	RR	5.2	None?	0	10	Gr05	N	
						13	12	LP-this paper	39	83982 Crantor	Cen	RR	9.1	H <sub>2</sub> O	13		Dor05	Y	
3	8405 Asbolus	Cen	BR	9.0	None	0		Ba00	N						14	4	Alv07 Bark08		
						0 <0	1	Br00a, Ro02 Bark08							6	4	LP-Gu09a		
4	10199 Chariklo	Cen	BR	6.4	H <sub>2</sub> Ovar	~10	•	BrK98	Y 40	84522 2002 TC <sub>302</sub>	5:2	-	3.8	H <sub>2</sub> O	9	4	Bark08	Т	
						+		Br00a	41	84922 2003 VS <sub>2</sub> 90377 Sedna	3:2 Det	- RR	4.2	H <sub>2</sub> O H <sub>2</sub> O CH, N <sub>2</sub>	7	2	Bark08 Ba05a Tr05	Ŷ	
						7-14 <0		LP-Cu09b		Soorr Scana	ber	KK.	1.0	1120, 014, 112	27	13	LP-Ba10	•	
5	15789 1993 SC	3:2	RR	7.0	None?	0	10	Je01	N 43	3 90482 Orcus	3:2	BB	2.3	H <sub>2</sub> O, NH <sub>3</sub> ?	30		FoO4	Y	Darwegi 2011
6	15874 1996 TL <sub>66</sub>	SDO	BB	5.4	H <sub>2</sub> O	<20		Lu98	т						30	3	LP-Gu09a		Dalucci 2011
						24	19	LP-this paper							25	5	LP-Ba08		
7	15875 1996 TP <sub>66</sub>	3:2	RR	6.9	None	<0	4	Bark08	N						35	4	Del10		
8	19308 1996 TO <sub>66</sub> *	Cl	BB	4.5	H <sub>2</sub> O	65	5	Br99	Y	00568 2004 CV.	a	PD	4.0	None	0	2	LP-DM10a LP-Cu00a	N	
10	20000 Varuna	a	IR	4.8	None?	<0 +	4	Li01	N 44	5 95626 2002 GZ <sub>12</sub>	Cen	BR	6.8	H <sub>2</sub> Ovar?	<0	2	Bark08	T	
						<0	2	Bark08							10	6	LP-this paper		
11	24835 1995 SM <sub>55</sub> <sup>a</sup>	CI 11-2	BB	4.8	H2O	56	6	Bark08	Y 46	5 119951 2002 KX <sub>14</sub>	CI	RR-IR <sup>®</sup>	4.4	None	<0 3	12	Bark08 LP_Cu09a	N	
12	20181 1990 UQ <sub>21</sub>	11.2	RR.	3.2	CH <sub>2</sub> OH?	9	2	Ddikuo	47	120061 2003 CO1	Cen	BRb	8.9	None?	5	4	LP-this paper	т	
13	26375 1999 DE <sub>9</sub>	5:2	IR	4.7	H2Ovar?	$\sim 10$		Je01	т 48	3 120132 2003 FY128	Det	BR	5.0	None?	7	16	Bark08	N	
						15	8	Alv07		120178 2002 OB	a	nnb	41		<0	0	LP-Gu09a	v	
						<0	2	LP-Gu09a	42	) 120348 2004 TY <sub>364</sub>	a	-	4.5	H <sub>2</sub> O H <sub>2</sub> O	4	2	Bark08	T	
						+		LP-Me10a							6	5	LP-this paper		
14	28978 Ixion	3:2	IR	3.2	H <sub>2</sub> O	6	4	Bark08	T 51	127546 2002 XU <sub>93</sub>	SDO	-	8.0	None?	3	8	Bark08	N	
15	29981 1999 TDro	SDO	BR	8.8	None	<0	4	LP-G009a Bark08	N 53	2 134340 Pluto 3 136108 Haumea <sup>a</sup>	3:2 Cl	BR	-0.7	CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, N <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> ? H <sub>2</sub> O	+		Tr07 Me07	Y	
16	31824 Elatus	Cen	RR	10.1	H <sub>2</sub> Ovar	+		Bau02	Y	i sonoo natamaa		55	0.1	1120	48	0	Bark08	•	
17	32532 Thereus	Cen	BR	9.0	H <sub>2</sub> Ovar	+		Ba02, Me05	Y 54	136199 Eris	Det	BB	-1.2	CH4, N2			Br05, Me09	Y	
						10	3	L105 LP-Cu09a	55	5 136472 Makemake 144897 2004 UV-0	a	BR	-0.3	CH4 H-02	6	10	Li06a, Br07,Bark08 LB-this paper	Y T	
18	33340 1998 VG44	3:2	IR	6.5	None?	<0	10	Bark08	N	144657 2004 07(1)	u	DK	4.5	11201	20	16	Li -tilis papei		
19	38628 Huya	3:2	IR	4.7	H <sub>2</sub> Ovar	+		Li01	T 57	145451 2005 RM43	Det	BB	4.4	H <sub>2</sub> O	26	17	LP-this paper	Т	
	2000 EB173					<br +		dB04	58	3 145452 2005 RN <sub>43</sub>	CI	RR-IR"	3.9	None?	3	2	Bark08 LP-Gu09a	N	
						15	7	Alv07	59	) 145453 2005 RR43 <sup>a</sup>	CI	BB	4.0	H <sub>2</sub> O	83	5	PA07	Y	
20	100.01.0001.100		00		N2	<0	2	Bark08							65	2	Bark08		
20	42355 Typhon	SDO	BR	4.2	H <sub>0</sub>	14	7	Alv10	Y 60	174567 2003 MW-	а	-	36	None?	74 <0	13	LP-this paper	N	
					-	11	3	LP-Gu09a	61	202421 2005 UQ <sub>513</sub>	c.	-	3.4	H <sub>2</sub> O	6	1	Bark08	Ŷ	
22	44594 1999 OX3 47171 1999 TC-	SDO 3:2	RR	7.4	H <sub>2</sub> O?	6	5	LP-this paper Dot03 a Me05	T 62	2 208996 2003 AZ <sub>84</sub>	3:2	BB	3.6	H <sub>2</sub> O	18	4	Bark08	Y	
23	47171 1355 1036	3.2	NN.	4.5	ngovar	8	2	Bark08	•						17	13	LP-Gu09a LP-this namer		
						4	3	LP-Gu09a, LP-Pr09	63	229762 2007 UK126	Det	-	3.4	H <sub>2</sub> O	11	7	LP-this paper	т	
24	47932 2000 GN171	3:2	IR	6.0	None?	<0	16	dB04, Alv07 Bark08	N 64	250112 2002 KY14	Cen	RR	9.5	H <sub>2</sub> O	9	8	LP-this paper	Т	
						4	6	LP-Gu09a	65	5 2003 QW <sub>90</sub> 5 2003 UZ <sub>40</sub>	3.2	RR" BB	5.3	H <sub>2</sub> O H <sub>2</sub> O	21	11	LP-Gu09a LP-this namer	T	
25	50000 Quaoar	CI	RR	2.5	H2O, CH4, NH3, C2H6?	22	1	Je04	Y 67	2004 NT <sub>33</sub>	CI	-	4.4	None?	3	1	Bark08	N	
						25	2	LP-Gu09a Sch07, Da09	68	2004 PG <sub>115</sub>	SDO	-	5.0	H <sub>2</sub> O	10	2	Bark08	Y	
26	52872 Okyrhoe	JC	BR	11.0	None?	+		Dot03a	T 70	2005 QU <sub>182</sub> 2007 UM	SDO	- PP	3.4	None None2	<0	2	Bark08 LB-this paper	N	
	-	-				4	2	Bark08	71	2007 VH <sub>305</sub>	Cen	BR	11.5	None?	8	14	LP-this paper	N	
27	54508 Biapor	Can	PD	76	H-0	2	2	LP-DM10a Dot03 a	v 72	2008 FC <sub>76</sub>	Cen	RR	9.1	None?	4	8	LP-this paper	N	
21	JAJ 30 DICIM	cen	DIV	7.0	120	4	2	Bark08	• 73	3 2008 SJ <sub>236</sub>	Cen	RR	12.2	None?	7	13	LP-this paper	N	
						16	6	LP-Gu09a	74	Charon	3:2		0.9	H <sub>2</sub> O, NH <sub>3</sub>	58	3	Br00b, Me10a	Y	
28	55565 2002 AW <sub>197</sub>	CI	IR	3.4	None?	+	2	Dor05 Bark08	N 75	6 Hi'iaka <sup>a</sup>	CI			H <sub>2</sub> O	87	11	Bark06	Y	
						3	7	LP-Gu09a											
29	55576 Amycus	Cen	RR	7.8	H <sub>2</sub> O	+		Dor05	Т										
30	55636 2002 TY4	a	RR	3.3	H-0	7	5	LP-this paper	v	-7E(-1)		odau	-2) T	MOg with	· 'ao	ad'	nID anod	ro	
30	3.5530 2002 TA300"	u	DD	2.2	100	64	1	Bark08	'	~/5 (< I	$\underline{0}$	Juay	<u>, j l</u>	NUS WILL	r go	ou_	mik speci	Id.	
31	55637 2002 UX25	Cl	IR	3.6	None?	2	2	Bark08	N				1.				1 11 1	1	
32	55638 2002 VE	3.2	RR	53	H-0_(H-0H2	12	14	LP-this paper Ba06	v	There are	e mo	ore o	bied	<u>cts</u> with n	ieas	ure	d albedos	sand	
32	33530 2002 YLg	3.2	NN.	5.5	120, engone	9	2	Bark08					-,						
										diameter	s th	an th	ere	are with	IRc	nec	rtra		
										unameter	JUI		ICIC		110.0	per			

### **TNO Dwarf Planets – Spectra**



### **TNO Dwarf Planets – Spectra**



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

# **TNO Composition**

- Typical TNOs fall on a trend of low-albedo, gray objects to higher albedo red objects.
- TNO dwarf planets are gray to moderately red and have very high albedos.
  - Volatile ices are present on most (atmospheres, seasonal transport)
- Processed organics (tholins) are probably responsible for most of the redness.
  - Silicates may also play a role
- Spectroscopic data in the IR is still challenging to acquire and interpret.
  - Typically have low-SNR, low effective spectral resolution
- H2O is the only unambiguously identified material identified on many TNOs.
  - Volatile molecular ices (CH4, N2, CO, CO2) seen on the dwarf planets

What do TNOs Look Like?

In 2019 we will get one more (2014 MU69).

Next close-up views will be a long time coming...



Larissa



Nereïde

Naïade

Triton

Galathée

Protée





Phoebe

Hyperion