Infrared Characterization of TNOs

Spitzer, Herschel and *JWST*



John Stansberry

Space Telescope Science Institute

IAC Winter School 2016

TNO/Small-body Physical Properties

- After "there it is" "what it is?"
 - 1. Size, shape, reflectivity, mass, density, temperature, color, ...
 - 2. Atmosphere, thermal character, composition (surface, interior), ...
 - 3. Geology, history, compositional heterogeneity, activity, ...
- Global properties (1, 2) determined telescopically
- Surface variations (3) determined via spacecraft encounter

TNO/Small-body Physical Properties Telescopic Characterization

- After "there it is" "what it is?"
 - 1. Size, shape, reflectivity, mass, density, temperature, color, ...
 - 2. Atmosphere, thermal character, composition (surface, interior), ...
 - 3. Geology, history, compositional heterogeneity, activity, ...
- Global properties (1, 2) determined telescopically
- Surface variations (3) determined via spacecraft encounter
- A few basic concepts and principles
- Spitzer & Herschel Thermal method
- JWST Compositions

Herschel

- Infrared Space Observatory (ESA): 2009 – 2013 (4 years cryogenic)
- 3.5 m telescope, L2 Orbit
- Photometry and spectroscopy (55 to 672 µm), liquid helium cooled instruments PACS, SPIRE, HIFI
- Telescope passively cooled to ~70K

"TNOs are Cool" Key Programme: photometric (PACS & SPIRE) observations of about 130 TNOs/Centaurs; PI: T. Müller



Spitzer Space Telescope

• 85-cm Beryllium mirror, T < 15 K

- Diffraction Limit: 5.5 μm
- Background Limited 3 160 μm

Three Focal Plane Instruments

- ~5K operating temperatures
- Imaging: 3.6 -160 μm
- Spectroscopy: $5.3 40 \ \mu m$
- SED: 51 106 μm

Heliocentric Earth Trailing Orbit

- Launched warm, cooled down on orbit
 - Cryogenic mission lasted 5.5 years
 - Warm mission (3.6 & 4.5 μm imaging) continues until ~2017.



Spitzer: Earth-Trailing Solar Orbit



Size vs. Visual Albedo



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

Thermal Method Basic Equations

- $S_0 = Insolation (W/m2)$
- A = Bolometric Albedo
- η = "Beaming Parameter"
- ε = emissivity
- σ = Stefan-Boltzmann constant

 $A = q p_v$

 $T_{SS} = (S_0 (1-A) / \eta \epsilon \sigma)^{1/4}$

q = phase integral

 $H_V = V - 5log(r\Delta) + 2.5log[(1-G)\Phi_1(\alpha) + G\Phi_2(\alpha)]$

 H_V = absolute magnitude of the target V = apparent magnitude "R Δ " = distance correction "G Φ " = phase function

 $R = 665 \text{ km} \ 10^{-Hv/5} \ p_v^{1/2}$ R = target radius

$$\pi R^{2}(1-A)S = \eta \varepsilon \sigma R^{2} \int_{-\pi-\pi/2}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} T^{4}(\theta,\phi) \cos \phi \, d\phi \, d\theta$$
 Energy balance equation

Types of Simple Thermal Models

Standard Thermal Model (STM)

 $T_{Max} = (S_0(1-A)/\eta \varepsilon \sigma)^{1/4}$

See e.g. Harris, Icarus 1998

Fast Rotator or Isothermal Latitude Model (ILM)

 $T_{Max} = (S_0(1-A)/2\eta \varepsilon \sigma)^{1/4}$



- Equivalent to assuming thermal inertia $\Gamma = 0$ (instantaneous thermal equilibrium with insolation).
- Temperature distribution depends on the angle from the subsolar point, θ , as $\cos^{\frac{1}{4}}(\theta)$.
- The "beaming parameter", η , allows the model to be tuned to account for the effects of roughness, non-0 thermal inertia, rotation. Values $\sim 0.5 < \eta < 2$ are observed.

- Equivalent to assuming thermal inertia $\Gamma = \infty$ (nightside and dayside temperatures are equal).
- Temperature distribution depends on the latitude, λ , as cos¹/₄ (λ), and is lower than for the STM.
- The beaming parameter rolls up effects such as thermal inertia, roughness, and finite rotation, similarly to the STM.

Thermophysical Models (TPM)

TPM accounts for

- Diurnal insolation variations
 - Spin vector
- Subsurface conduction (Γ = thermal inertia)
- Surface roughness
 - Parameterized as RMS slope
 - Roughness causes localized temperature enhancements, enhanced emission at small phase angles
- Actual viewing geometry
- Finite element approach
 - Model predicts T(x,y,z)
 - Can incorporate inhomogeneous properties
 - Albedo, thermal inertia, ...
 - Can account for non-spherical objects
- Results give direct physical insights
 - Application requires knowledge of, or asumptions about, multiple target properties



TPM Models for Makemake (Mueller 2011)

See, e.g., Spencer, Icarus 1990

Types of Simple Thermal Models

Standard Thermal Model (STM)

 $T_{Max} = (S_0(1-A)/\eta \varepsilon \sigma)^{1/4}$



Fast Rotator or Isothermal Latitude Model (ILM)

 $T_{Max} = (S_0(1-A)/2\eta \epsilon \sigma)^{1/4}$



- The STM and ILM give results for p_v and D as accurate as results from thermophysical models (TPM).
- Extensions to these account for viewing at non-zero phase angles (e.g. NEATM) and sub-observer latitude.
- The 'beaming parameter' has no direct physical interpretation (but see previous chart).
- There are fewer STM / ILM free parameters than for the TPM. Given that there are usually only a few thermal data points (1 3 in most cases) in the simpler models are in some ways preferred.

Phase Integral, q

Converts geometric albedo to bolometric albedo, which is used for calculating energy balance.

 $q = 0.336 p_v + 0.479$

Brucker et al. 2009



Absolute Magnitude: Size and Albedo



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

Absolute Magnitude: Size and Albedo



Beware of HV from MPC, Horizons



H magnitudes from these services are biased ~ 0.25 mag too bright, on average...

Size and Albedo: Visual Constraint Only



Standard Thermal Model Fits

475km, pV=0.06 0.6 - Beam - 1.9 10.0000 Diam = 149 - 475 $p_v = 0.56 - 0.06$ Thermal The spectrum of an object Reflected consists of a reflected and emitted (thermal) 1.0000 L L 149km, pV=0.56 component. Flux Density (mJy) In these examples the STM 25um 0.1000 beaming parameter is set to limiting values of 0.6 This STM fit to a 25um and 1.9. flux density results in large uncertainties on pV 0.0100 and D. However, the slope of the spectrum < 30um, dominated by emission 0.0010 from the warmest areas on the target, is well represented. 0.0001 10 100 Wavelength (um)

Standard Thermal Model Fits



Standard Thermal Model Fits



STM Uncertainties on D, p_V



STM Fits using two Thermal Fluxes





IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

TNO Albedos and Sizes: Spitzer Results



IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry

TNO Size & Albedo: *Herschel* Results



T. Mueller – TNOs are Cool Key Programme

Note that red \neq dark, at least for cold-classical TNOs...

Beaming Parameter for TNOs



Beaming Parameter for TNOs





Lellouch 2013

Thermal Inertia from Beaming for TNOs



Fig. 11. Beaming factor η as a function of heliocentric distance r_h for the entire sample, compared with Monte-Carlo simulations assuming a rotation period of 8 h, a geometric albedo of 0.1, a uniform distribution of the polar axes on the sphere, and a random distribution of surface roughnesses. Thermal inertia $\Gamma = 1$, 2.5 and 8 MKS cases are shown here. Although $\Gamma = 8$ MKS may seem needed to account for the three points with $\eta = 1.5$ -1.8 at 13-18 AU, this case underpredicts the number of small η values at $r_h > 25$ AU, and $\Gamma = 2.5$ MKS provides the overall best fit.

TNO Composition



Spitzer/IRAC Composition Constraints

Figures courtesy of N. Pinilla-Alonso, in prep.



- IRAC 3.6 & 4.5 micron photometry + vis & nIR
 - Silicates, H2O, different kinds of organics can be differentiated
 - Molecular ices can as well (N2, CO, CO2, CH4)

Spitzer/IRAC: K/3.6/4.5 Composition Map



Figures courtesy of N. Pinilla-Alonso, *in prep*. See also dalleOre 2015.

- Ice components map into unique regions in K + IRAC color/color space
- Much more Spitzer data available
 - ~50 KBOs + Centaurs w/ decent IRAC colors

TNO Dwarf Planets – Spectra



Resolved Satellite Imaging: NIRCam

Well-resolved satellites

 160² subarray bright limits



Satellite Photometry with NIRCam

 400² subarray bright limits

 1000 second exposure sensitivity



Activity in Distant Comets and Centaurs



Figure 1: Spitzer/IRAC images of comet C/2013 A1 (Siding Spring) taken 2014 March 26 (r_h =3.1 AU, 30-s exposures, 47 repeats, Galatic latitude = -65 deg). Top left: 3.6-µm median-combined mosaic (dust). Top center: 4.5-µm median-combined mosaic (dust+gas). Top right: 4.5-µm gas coma after dust subtraction. Bottom row: the same images, but after subtracting the shadow observation (i.e., stellar background). The shadow observation greatly improves the data quality. The derived gas fluxes are similar for the two techniques, but our ability to examine the morphology of the coma in the original data is nearly lost due to background contamination.

Cometary Nuclei with NIRSpec & NIRCam

- Comets can be studied throught the 1-5 um region
- High sensitivity (1000 sec sensitivities shown)
- At distances where H2O is unlikely to drive activity



Simulated Comet Spectra



KBO Photometry with NIRCam



KBO Spectroscopy with NIRSpec



KBO Thermal Radiometry with MIRI

 MIRI can measure temperature distributions for quite small KBOs

- Sensitivity well matched to that of ALMA
- Valuable for
 - Thermal inertia
 - Composition
 - Regolith structure
 - Emissivity
 - Albedo
 - Diameter



8/31/2016

JWST Capabilities: Giant Planets Imaging

- NIRCam Subarrays
 - short integration times
 - Significant FOV
 - Simultaneous 0.6-2.3
 (shortwave) and 2.4-5
 (longwave) coverage
 - Matched FOVs
 - Smaller subarrays available:
 640² (shown), 320², 160²
 - Dithers fill detector gaps in the short-wave channel



Giant Planet Imaging with NIRCam

Bright limits
 for 640x640
 subarrays

160x160
limits are
15x higher



Giant Planet Imaging with MIRI



- Bright limits for 64x64 subarrays (6.4" FOV)
- MIRI IFU spectroscopy limits are ~100x higher

Giant Planet Spectroscopy: NIRSpec IFU





PASP Special Issue (Jan 4, 2016)

Innovative Solar System Science with the James Webb Space Telescope Stefanie Milam, Special Editor

http://iopscience.iop.org/1538-3873/128/959 11 topical papers http://iopscience.iop.org/1538-3873/128/960 1 high-level paper (Norwood et al.)

10 JWST Solar System Focus Groups

(and 11 papers! http://iopscience.iop.org/1538-3873/128/959

- Asteroids (Andy Rivkin, JHU/APL)
- Comets (Chick Woodward, U. Minnesota)
- Giant Planets (Jim Norwood, NMSU)
- Mars (Geronimo Villanueva, GSFC)
- NEOs (Cristina Thomas, GSFC)
- Occultations (Pablo Santos-Sanz, IAA-CSIC, Spain)
- **Rings** (Matt Tiscareno, Cornell)
- Satellites (Laszlo Kestay, USGS)
- Titan (Conor Nixon, GSFC)
- **TNOs** (Alex Parker, SwRI)
- JWST Solar System Capabilities (Milam, GSFC)

How will we **Continue to** Explore **TNOs?**

JWST and future space-based (SPICA? FIRST? UVOIR?) and large ground-based observatories will be the way.

> Despina Thalassa

Larissa

Néréïde

Naïade

Triton

Galathée

Protée



Hyperion

IAC Winter School 2016 -- Kuiper Belt Overview -- J. Stansberry