UNDERSTANDING DYNAMO MECHANISMS FROM 3D CONVECTION SIMULATIONS OF THE SUN

> JÖRN WARNECKE Max Planck Institute For Solar System Research



AXEL BRANDENBURG, NORDITA & CU BOULDER PETRI J. KÄPYLÄ, AIP MAARIT J. KÄPYLÄ, MPS MATTHIAS RHEINHARDT, AALTO UNIVERSITY



#### **Solar Activity**

#### DAILY SUNSPOT AREA AVERAGED OVER INDIVIDUAL SOLAR ROTATIONS



#### differential rotation



turbulent convective motions



**ME** no direct measurements





#### **Electromotive force**



### **Test-field method**

Schrinner et al. 2005, 2007, 2012

$$\frac{\partial \overline{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\overline{u} \times \overline{B} + \overline{u' \times B'}) - \nabla \times \eta \nabla \times \overline{B},$$
  
$$\mathcal{E} = \alpha \cdot \overline{B} + \gamma \times \overline{B} - \beta \cdot (\nabla \times \overline{B}) - \delta \times (\nabla \times \overline{B}) - \kappa \cdot (\nabla \overline{B})^{(S)},$$
  
$$\frac{\partial B'}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left( u' \times \overline{B}^{\mathrm{T}} + \overline{u} \times B' + u' \times B' - \overline{u' \times B'} \right) - \nabla \times \eta \nabla \times B'$$

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$\overline{B}^{(i)}_{\mathrm{T}r}$	see	e talk	k by I	Fred	Gent	0	ϑ	0	0
$\overline{B}^{(i)}_{\mathrm{T}artheta}$	0	1	0	0	r	0	0	$\vartheta$	0
$\overline{B}^{(i)}_{\mathrm{T}arphi}$	0	0	1	0	0	r	0	0	artheta
16th of January 2017 SOLARNET IV MEETING, Lanzarote, Spain									

# **The Simulation**

# **Global convective dynamo simulations**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} &= u \times B + \eta \nabla^2 A \\ \frac{D \ln \rho}{D t} &= -\nabla \cdot u \\ \frac{D u}{D t} &= g - 2\Omega_0 \times u + \frac{1}{\rho} \left( J \times B - \nabla p + \nabla \cdot 2\nu \rho S \right) \\ T \frac{D s}{D t} &= \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \left( K \nabla T + \chi_t \rho T \nabla s \right) + 2\nu S^2 + \frac{\mu_0 \eta}{\rho} J^2 - \Gamma_{\text{cool}}(r), \end{aligned}$$



- high-order finite-difference code
  scales up efficiently to over 60.000 cores
- compressible MHD



MPPC Meeting, Princeton, US

# Results



16th of January 2017

 $P(\alpha_{ij}) = \frac{\left(\alpha_{ij}^{\mathrm{es}}\right)^2 - \left(\alpha_{ij}^{\mathrm{ea}}\right)^2}{\left(\alpha_{ij}^{\mathrm{es}}\right)^2 + \left(\alpha_{ij}^{\mathrm{ea}}\right)^2},$ SOLARNET IV MEETING, Lanzarote, Spain

10

r = 0.84R

30

40

latitude [°]

50

60

70

*r*=0.72*R* 

## **Magnetic field generation**



**Turbulent pumping** 

$$\mathcal{E} = \alpha \cdot \overline{B} + \gamma \times \overline{B} + \beta \cdot (\nabla \times \overline{B}) - \delta \times (\nabla \times \overline{B}) - \kappa \cdot (\nabla \overline{B})^{(S)}$$
$$\frac{\partial \overline{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\overline{u} \times \overline{B} + \overline{u' \times B'}) - \nabla \times \eta \nabla \times \overline{B},$$

$$\partial_{t}\overline{\boldsymbol{B}}^{\text{pol}} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \left[ \dots + \left( \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\text{pol}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}^{\text{pol}} \right) \times \overline{\boldsymbol{B}}^{\text{pol}} \right]$$
(16)  
$$\partial_{t}\overline{\boldsymbol{B}}^{\text{tor}} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \left[ \dots + \left( \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\text{pol}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}^{\text{pol}} \right) \times \overline{\boldsymbol{B}}^{\text{tor}} + \left( \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\text{tor}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}^{\text{tor}} \right) \times \overline{\boldsymbol{B}}^{\text{pol}} \right]$$
(17)

16th of January 2017



16th of January 2017

## **Magnetic quenching**



16th of January 2017

SOLARNET IV MEETING, Lanzarote, Spain

14



### Conclusions

- Test-field method is one way to understand dynamo simulations.
- Alpha deviates from helicity expression.
- Complicated mixture of dynamo effects.
- Turbulent pumping changes significantly the eff. flow.
- Quenching does not depends analytical on B
- Strong cyclic variations of coefficients