



Funded by the European Union



# Observational techniques

Maryvonne Gerin









# Telescopes and instruments



- Astronomy : Access to most of the electromagnetic spectrum
- Multi-messenger astronomy : photons + particules (cosmic rays, neutrinos) + gravitational waves
- Either from the ground or from space
- Direct exploration (solar system) Direct exploration for the solar system : sample analysis in situ or on Earth
- Various techniques : Imaging, Spectroscopy, 3D = images+spectra, Polarimetry, Monitoring, ...
- Open archives













# The Earth's atmosphere transmission

- Astronomers try to detect photons at all frequencies : from the gamma rays to radio domain
- Space missions for wavelength not accessible from the ground in most cases



# The Earth's atmosphere transmission

- Absorption from molecules (O<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>...)
- Absorption from clouds
- Turbulence and winds → change of the light path and induce perturbations of the images of the images (seeing)



### Specifications for Telescopes and Instruments

- The telescope and detector technologies depend on the wavelength range
  - Visible : single (up to ~8m diameter) or segmented mirror (glass + thin metal)
  - Radio : parabolic antenna , metallic surface up to ~100m
  - Interferometer f
  - Telescope size  $\rightarrow$  theoretical angular resolution without the atmosphere ~ 1.2  $\lambda$ /D
  - Adaptive optics to remove atmospheric image distorsion
  - Interferometer to reach the finest angular resolution
- Observatory site
  - atmospheric transmission (H<sub>2</sub>O content is the most critical : high altitude site and dry conditions)
  - image quality : stable weather pattern, moderate wind, low turbulence, ...
- Satellite
  - Orbit
    - Stability of environment : e.g. Lagrange point vs Low Earth orbit like HST
    - Instantaneous access to the sky
    - Sky visibility : Sun (+ Earth) avoidance
  - Pointing mode
    - Sky survey (Planck ...)
    - Pointed observations (JWST, HST)



### Satellite orbits



Hubble Space Telescope ~570 km



James Webb Space Telescope ~1.5 10<sup>6</sup> km

The benefit of space missions (e.g. GAIA, Planck) : full sky coverage and homogeneous calibration









## Airplanes & balloons

- Astronomy from airplanes (KAO and SOFIA)
- Few atmosphere left at the tropopause
- Stratospheric balloons operate at ~40km
- More extensively used for atmospheric work than for astronomy
- Main limitations : telescope size and flight time (10 hours ... 2 months)







## Solar system exploration

- On Earth, extraterrestrial material from meteorites, micrometeorites and interplanetary dust particules
- Solar system probes allow detailed investigations of solar system bodies surface & atmosphere : images, spectra, in situ measurements, in situ analyses (e.g. Rosetta, Mars rovers)
- Sample collection and return on Earth for further analysis (e.g. NASA/OSIRIS-REX)



### Astronomy satellites (ESA view)



### NASA science missions



# Infrared astronomy from Space

#### IRAS-1983



ISO-1995



#### MSX-1996



Spitzer-2003



#### Akari-2006



#### WISE-2009



JWST-2022



Herschel-2009



### UV satellites









https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\_of\_space\_telescopes#Ultraviolet

# JWST (https://webbtelescope.org/quick-facts)

- NASA +ESA and CSA
- 6.5m deployable mirror
- Mid-Infrared Instrument (MIRI) 4.9 – 27.9μm
- Near-Infrared Camera (NIRCam) (0.6 – 5 μm)
- Near-Infrared
   Spectrograph (NIRSpec) (0.6 – 5.3μm)
- Near-Infrared Imager and Slitless Spectrograph/Fine Guidance Sensor (NIRISS/FGS)



# JWST Instruments

Optimized combination of imaging and spectroscopic capabilities Detector choice depends on Wavelength and operation mode





# JWST Instruments





# Some ground based telescopes

- Visible (+ near IR) :
  - European Southern Observatory (Chile)
  - Keck telescope, Gemini, Subaru, ... Mauna Kea (Hawaii)
  - Grantecan (Canary Island)
- Gamma rays (from the light produced by interaction of energetic photons and particles with the Earth atmosphere) Cerenkov effect
  - HESS (Namibia)
  - CTA : under construction : 2 sites: Canary Island & Chile
- Radio
  - From meter (LOFAR) to centimeter (JVLA, MeerKAT) to (sub)millimeter wavelengths (ALMA, APEX, JCMT, IRAM-30m, NOEMA, ...)

# European Southern Observatory

- <u>https://www.eso.org/</u> <u>public</u>
- <u>https://www.eso.org/</u> <u>public/teles-instr/</u>
- <u>https://www.eso.org/</u> <u>public/teles-</u> <u>instr/lasilla</u>
- <u>https://www.eso.org/</u> <u>public/teles-</u> <u>instr/paranal-</u> <u>observatory/vlt</u>
- https://elt.eso.org



### IRAM (Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique)

 <u>https://iram-</u> institute.org/about/resources-outreach

- <u>https://iram-institute.org/virtual-tour/30m</u>
- <u>https://iram-institute.org/virtual-tour/noema</u>





# A single dish millimeter telescope : IRAM-30m

- Camera : NIKA2
  - 2 wavelengths : 2mm and 1.2mm
  - Polarization capabilities
  - 2900 detectors in three arrays (616 Pixels at 2mm and 2x1140 at 1.2mm)
  - FoV 6.5'
- Heterodyne receivers : EMIR
  - Single pixel , double polarization
  - 4 frequency bands (72-116 GHz, 120-175 GHz, 200-270 GHz, 260-250 GHz) 16 GHz per frequency band
  - Large scale maps require scanning the sky with the telescope beam : On the Fly observations
  - Telescope beam pattern : theoretical angular resolution (main beam) + secondary lobes



### The NOEMA interferometer



# Radio interferometer : the NOEMA example

Increase the angular resolution by using several antennas together : the resolution is determined by the distance between the antennas, not by their sizes

Optical/near infrared interferometry at ESO (VLTI) for extremely fine angular resolution









Diameter:15 mCollecting area:176.7 m²No. of panels:176 adjustable aluminum panelsSurface accuracy:35 μm



# A submillimeter interferometer ALMA Atacama Large (sub)Millimeter Array

- <u>https://almascience.eso.org/</u>
- Main array : 50 x 12m diameter antennas
- Morita (Compact) array : 12x7 m diameter antennas
- 4 single dish telescopes
- 10 frequency bands from 35 to 850 GHz (one at a time)
- 10 main array configurations : baselines up to 16km
- Various observing modes
  - Single pointing
  - Mosaic
  - Spectral survey
  - Full Stokes parameters
  - Solar
  - VLBI

From E. Chapillon's presentation, IRAM interferometry school





### ALMA

- World Wide collaboration :
  - Europe (ESO)
  - North America (NRAO, USA, Canada)
  - East Asia (Japan, South Korea, Taiwan)
  - Chile
- A complex organization
  - Main site (AOS), Atacama plateau
  - JAO = Main operations = AOS+OSF+SCO
  - ARC nodes : interfaces with users
- Fully open science archive
- 1 call for observations /year



# Specifications for Observations

- One size does not fit all !
  - Compromise between angular resolution (fine details) and Field of View (global view)
  - Compromise between spectral resolution (high for line profile information) and spectral coverage (low to moderate R give a broader coverage)
  - Compromise between complexity of observing modes and easy scheduling survey with standard setups)
  - Different detector and spectrometer technologies with wavelength domain : these compromises lead to different instrument concepts

### Preparing for observations : e.g. ALMA

- Explain your idea and explain which information you wish to collect and how you can derive it from the measurements : Proposal writing
- Use the Observing tool to derive the sensitivity and optimize the observation procedure : ALMA-OT
  - Selection of the target(s)
  - Selection of the observing mode : FoV, angular resolution, polarization, ..
  - Selection of the spectral line, spectral resolution, spectral bandwidth
  - Sensitivity requirements and computation of the observing time ! Compromise between the sensitivity and time

# Preparing for observations : the ALMA science portal





### The ALMA observing tool



<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>T</u> ool <u>S</u> earch <u>H</u> elp		Perspective 1
Project Structure	Editors	
Proposal Program	Spectral Spatial Spectral Setup	
Unsubmitted Proposal Project Proposal Planned Observing ScienceGoal (Science Goal)	-Spectral Type	<u> </u>
- Ceneral - Field Setup - Spectral Setup	Polarization products desired $\bigcirc$ XX ( DUAL $\bigcirc$ FULL	=
Calibration Setup	Spectral Setup Errors	
Control and Performance	Spectral Line  Paseband-1	
	Fraction     Centre Freq (rest,Isrk)     Centre Freq (sky,bar)     Centre Freq Transition     Bandwidth, Resolution (smoothed)     Spec Avg.     Representativ Window       1/2     230     53893.0     C     0.000.0     1.12 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
	1/2       230.33600 G 230.33622 G CO V=0 2-1       117.188 MH2(132 km/s), 122.070 kH2(0.139 km/s)       1         1/4       231.22091 G 13CS v=0 5-4       58.594 MH2(176 km/s), 122.070 kH2(0.158 km/s)       1       1         1/4       231.32183 G 231.32205 G N2D+ J=3-2       58.594 MH2(176 km/s), 122.070 kH2(0.158 km/s)       1       1	
	Select Lines to Observe in Baseband-1         Add         Delete           Baseband-2         1(Full) 230.00000 G[230.00022 GEnter Name 1875.000 MHz( 2444 km/s), 31.250 MHz(40.733 km/s)         1	
	Select Lines to Observe in Baseband-2 Add Delete Baseband-3 Feedback Feedback	
	Validation Validation History Log	

### Data Archives

Observatory archives :

ESO https://archive.eso.org/scienceportal/home ALMA https://almascience.eso.org/aq/ JWST https://mast.stsci.edu/search/ui/#/jwst

Astronomy science portal : Strasbourg Astronomical Data Center (CDS) <a href="http://cdsportal.u-strasbg.fr/">http://cdsportal.u-strasbg.fr/</a>

Several tools : ALADIN for Images, VizieR for catalogs, SIMBAD for bibliography, Xmatch for source identification , ...

https://aladin.cds.unistra.fr/aladin.gml

## ALMA data archive

Search	• Q										C •	0 - (	🕹 Explore a	and download
4 31 38.447 +10	13 57.2FoV: 4.43		⊕ Cells 💽 〈	🔿 Footprints 🧲	o 💿 Sky ob	jects 💿 🕴	⊜ Sky layers 🗕 🔍 🤇	a @ M	Nolecules •		Lines		Redshift 0.000019	estimated •
		(			)			100 0	4 5 H300 - 2-1 C0 v = 0.1 - 2 H23 v = 0.1 - 2 H23 v = 0.1 - 2 H2 - 2 C0 v = 0.1 - 2 H2 - H2 -	6 HROH + COL- COL + COL- CIEO P-1 CIEO P-1 Hz	7 CH30H V2-03-0-3- CH30H V2-0612-415(1,4)	8 HOC 200 ]=5.4 13CH30H VE=1 ((1.2)-3(2.1)++ C5 V=0 8.7 400 GHz	CI 3PI-3PD 500 GHz	9 HC1)=1.0.F1=5;0.3;2 S0 359ma v=0.4(5).3(2) C0 v=0.54
								ALACAN		V	Vhm			~~~~
© Observatio	ns (21) 🗘 Pro ame: HL_Tau 🛛 ×	jects (4069) 🛛 🔳	Publications	(2896)				ALLER	<u>]                                    </u>		W Mm			G. • 6 •
© Observatio ALMA source n	ns (21) O Pro ame: HL_Tau × Project code	ALMA source name	Publications	с (2896) Dec	Band	Cont. sens.	Frequency support	Release date	Publications	Ang. res.	Min. vel. res.	Агтау	E Mosaic	الله من الله من Max. reco. scale
© Observatio ALMA source n ■ ○ ↔	ns (21) O Pro ame: HL_Tau × Project code	ALMA source name	Publications RA h:m:s *	Dec d:m:s •	Band	Cont. sens.	Frequency support	T Release date	Publications	Ang. res. arcsec ▼	Min. vel. res.	Array	Mosaic	Max. reco. scale
© Observatio ALMA source n ■ ↔ ↔ □ ↔ →	ns (21) Project code (2011 0 00015 5)	ALMA source name HL_Tau V X HL_Tau	Publications RA h:m:s * 04:31:38.426	Dec d;m:s + +18:13:57.04;	Band7	Cont. sens. mJy/beam + 0.0357	Frequency support (335.498351.486.GHz)	Release date     2016-06-24	Publications	Ang. res. arcsec ¥ 0.020	Min. vel. res. km/s * 26.654	Аггау 12m	Mosaic	□       □
© Observatio ALMA source n ○ ↔ - ○ ↔ ~	ns (21)	jects (4069)	Publications RA h:m:s * 04:31:38.426 04:31:38.426	E (2896) Dec d;m:s ▼ +18:13:57.04; +18:13:57.04;	Band	Cont. sens. mJy/beam • 0.0357 0.0199	Frequency support (335.498351.486.GHz) (223.003.242.99.GHz)	1 Release date 2016-06-24 2016-06-24	Publications	Ang. res. arcsec ▼ 0.020 0.027	Min. vel. res. km/s * 26.654 38.555	Array 12m 12m	Mosaic	Max. reco. scale arcsec * 1.719 2.811
<ul> <li>⑦ Observatio</li> <li>ALMA source n</li> <li>○ ↔</li> <li>○ ↔</li> <li>○ ↔ ~</li> </ul>	ns (21)	jects (4069) ALMA source name HL_Tau ✓ × HL_Tau HL_Tau HL_Tau HL_Tau	Publications RA h:m:s * 04:31:38.426 04:31:38.427	Dec d:m:s • +18:13:57.04; +18:13:57.04;	Band 7 6 7	Cont. sens. mJy/beam * 0.0357 0.0199 0.0364	Frequency support (335.498.351.486 GHz) (223.003.242.99 GHz) (335.493.351.528 GHz)	↑ Release date           2016-06-24           2016-06-24           2016-06-24           2016-06-24	Publications	Ang. res. arcsec * 0.020 0.027 0.112	Min. vel. res. km/s * 26.654 38.555 53.309	Array 12m 12m 12m 7m	Mosaic	Max. reco. scale arcsec * 1.719 2.811 7.787
<ul> <li>③ Observatio</li> <li>ALMA source n</li> <li>○ ↔</li> <li>○ ↔</li> <li>○ ↔ ~</li> </ul>	ns (21) Project code (2011 0 00015 5) (2013 1 00355 5) (2016 1 00961 5) (2016 1 00961 5)	ALMA source name HL_Tau V X HL_Tau HL_Tau HL_Tau HL_Tau HL_Tau	Publications RA h:m:s + 04:31:38.426 04:31:38.427 04:31:38.435	Dec d:m:s • +18:13:57.04: +18:13:57.03: +18:13:56.87;	Bend 7 6 7 7 7	Cont. sens. m]y/beam • 0.0357 0.0199 0.0364 0.0377	Frequency support (335.498351.485 GHz) (223.003.242.99 GHz) (335.493351.528 GHz) (276.98290.734 GHz)	1         Release date           2016-06-24         2016-06-24           2016-10-29         2017-12-15	Publications	Ang. res. arcsec ¥ 0.020 0.027 0.112 0.449	Min. vel. res. km/s * 26.654 38.555 53.309 0.131	Array 12m 12m 12m 7m 12m	Mosaic	□→       □→       □→       →       →         Max. reco. scale       □
<ul> <li>③ Observatio</li> <li>ALMA source n</li> <li>○ ↔ -</li> <li>○ ↔ -</li> <li>○ ↔ ~</li> <li>○ ↔ ~</li> <li>○ ↔ ~</li> <li>○ ↔ -</li> </ul>	Ins (21)       Pro         ame: HL_Tau       ×         Project code       (2011 0 00015 5)         (2011 0 00015 5)       (2013 1 00355 5)         (2013 1 00355 5)       (2016 1 00961 5)         (2016 1 00961 5)       (2016 1 00115 5)	ALMA source name HL_Tau × HL_Tau HL_Tau HL_Tau HL_Tau HL_Tau HL_Tau HL_Tau	Publications  RA  h:m:s +  04:31:38.426  04:31:38.427  04:31:38.435  04:31:38.446	Dec d:m:s * +18:13:57.04: +18:13:57.03: +18:13:56.87: +18:13:57.284	Band 7 6 7 7 7 3	Cont. sens. mJy/beam • 0.0357 0.0199 0.0364 0.0377 0.0118	Frequency support (335.498351.486.GHz) (223.003.242.99.GHz) (335.493351.528.GHz) (276.98290.734.GHz) (89.507105.475.GHz)	1         Release date           2016-06-24         2016-06-24           2016-10-29         2017-12-15           2018-01-27         2018-01-27	Publications 27 27 1 0 5	Ang. res. arcsec * 0.020 0.027 0.112 0.449 0.379	Min. vel. res. km/s * 26.654 38.555 53.309 0.131 181.016	Array 12m 12m 7m 12m 7m 12m 12m	Mosaic	Max. reco. scale arcsec * 1.719 2.811 7.787 4.863 3.825

Т

#### Astronomy portal : Strasbourg astronomical Data center : CDS

VizieR

Main ID

M 42

Z

Object type **HII Region** 

SIMBAD

Q

PORTAL

J2000 v position : 05 35 16.800 -05 23 24.00

(D)

M42

375 HiPS images

Q

Φ

Φ





Х ЖПАТСН

Main ID

Object type

HII region

More info in NED

ALADIN

Object (Simbad)

0.00009273511836616066

~	1417 VizieR Cata	logs wit	hin	radius 0.2	20°						<b>BOX</b>
	Wavelengt	th	۲	Popularity	-	Q Search: Title		Mis	ssior	n	-
¢	Millimeter 33 Infrared 313					★ Gaia DR3 Part 1. Main source (Gaia Collaboration, 2022) (gaiadr3) 👔	Q	Search XMM	36	10 2	20 30
	X-ray 191					🔺 Gaia EDR3 (Gaia Collaboration, 2020) (comscanl) 👔	1	ROSAT	20		
¢	UV 37				2	🖈 Gaia EDR3 (Gaia Collaboration, 2020) (gaiaedr3) 👔	1	Hipparcos	12		
_	Radio 201					🖈 Gaia EDR3 (Gaia Collaboration, 2020) (tyc2tdsc) 👔	Ø2 Ro	ws ~27 More	#	10 2	20 30
	7 Rows #     Astronomy key	200 400 60 <b>yw</b>	•			TESS Input Catalog version 8.2 (TIC v8.2) (Paegert+, 2021) (tic82) (1)		Associa image	ated	data	
	Q Search	100 200 300	)			* 2MASS All-Sky Catalog of Point Sources (Cutri+ 2003) 👔	0	spectrum	11	10	20
	Stars:variable 206					🖈 AllWISE Data Release (Cutri+ 2013) (allwise) 👔		Jo	urna	I	
	Open_Clusters 181					* Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration, 2018) (gaia2)	Q	Search	245	100 2	00 300
	Positional_Data 167					* Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration, 2018) (Ipv) 1	Ĩ.	AqA	182	1	
1 <u>E</u>	Photometry 155					🖈 Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration, 2018) (rrlyrae) 👔	1	AJ An IS	159		
	@4 Rows ~57 More #	100 200 300	,			ቱ Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration, 2018) (varres) 👔		MNRAS	137	100 5	
▦	600					The Pan-STARRS release 1 (PS1) Survey - DR1 (Chambers+, 2016) (ps1) (1)	1 26 HO	Sky f	* racti	ion	00 300
	400 300 200	na teori yan da ta ya yan da ta				AAVSO Photometric All Sky Survey (APASS) DR9 (Henden+, 2016) (apass9) 👔	1.0k 800 600				a ( , ) a ( , ) a ( , ) a ( , ) a ( ) ( a ( , ) a ( ,
2	# 347 666 3	36 68 20M	D 4G			☆ Gaia DR3. Cross-match with known variable objects (Gavras+, 2023) (catalog) (1)	400 200 #	1.3k 36	32	36	58
						= LICACA Catalogue (Zachariaet 2012)	0%	5 20% 40	% <b>f</b>	50% 8	B0% 100

# Calibration and data analysis : from instrument units to physical units

- Sophisticated observation procedures : acquisition toward the target, off source, on standards, ..
- Calibration must include
  - a correction of the Earth atmosphere emission and attenuation (ON OFF procedure)
  - Frequency/wavelength Calibration
  - Knowledge of filter bandpass
  - Corrections for instrument drifts (internal, related to observation conditions e.g. telescope temperature or elevation) .. According to the observation procedure
  - Correction of instrument function (telescope PSF, spectral response)
- Calibration makes use of standards
  - Astronomical standards = well known objects with accurate models of their emission (e.g. stars, planets)
  - Internal standards (e.g. hot and cold load in radio telescopes, frequency or wavelength reference)
- The overall accuracy depends on the telescope & instrument ..
  - Absolute flux calibration is at the level of 5/10%;
  - Relative flux calibration is much better
  - Wavelength and frequency calibrations are excellent



# Calibration and data analysis : From physical units to calibrated spectra and images

- Data calibration, and data processing
  - Making images and spectra from raw data :
  - Sampling in frequency / velocity space
  - Gridding and resampling the data acquisitions
  - Correction for telescope & instrument artefacts (beam shape, instrument function, instrument efficiency ...)
  - Computing the physical quantities
  - $\rightarrow$  Each of this step can introduce noise and systematic errors
- The sensitivity calculations in the Observing tools include all steps
- Systematic effects (e.g. a flux calibration error, pointing offset, beam smearing...) can be significant even for high S/N data and are not usually included in the sensitivity calculations

# Extracting physical and chemical information

- Use all available information : images, spectra, polarization
- Inversion of the radiative transfer equation
  - Start from the data and use the radiative transfer equations to compute physical quantities (column density, excitation temperature, kinetic temperature, density, etc.)
  - The comparison with models is done using the extracted physical quantities and not the observed data
- Forward Model
  - Run physical and chemical models of the source providing predictions of fluxes of observed line and continuum intensities (e.g. the Meudon PDR model, a shock model, molecular cloud numerical simulation ..)
  - The predictions from the forward model can be compared to the observed data to extract information on the model control parameters (Density, radiation field, time etc.)



# Physical and chemical information : structure

- Source sizes
  - From the angular extent of the source
  - Must know the source distance
  - Definition : 1 parsec = the distance from Earth to Sun (1 AU = 1.5 10<sup>8</sup> km) is viewed with an angle of 1 arcsec = 648000/Pi astronomical units
  - Angular size of well known objects
    - Moon. 30'
    - Planet : Jupiter ~32-50" ; Uranus ~3-4"
    - Circumstellar Disk 0.25" (100 ua) if in Orion (Distance of 400pc)
    - Star forming core 50" (0.1 pc)"
    - Nebula/Cloud 1.4° (10pc) if in Orion
    - Galaxy 8.5' (10 kpc) for a galaxy in the local group (4 Mpc)
    - High redshift galaxy ~ 1" (not a point source)



 Parallax = displacement of the source apparent position due to the Earth motion

- Most accurate distance determination (geometrical effect)
- The GAIA mission has measured >> 10<sup>6</sup> stellar parallax
- Maser features (H<sub>2</sub>O, SiO) can also provide parallax associated to star forming regions, or stellar envelopes

## Measuring distances

- The radial velocity provides information on the relative displacement of the source with respect to the observatory
- Using a velocity field model (e.g. Galaxy rotation curve) in the Milky Way, the source distance can be derived



## Physical and chemical information : structure

- Images = 2D projections of 3D structures on the plane of the sky.
- Integration along the line of sight → use assumptions to get information on the 3D structure :
  - Statistics : different viewing angles of similar sources
  - Velocity field (e.g. rotation, expansion, infall, outflow, .. )
  - Differential extinction



# Physical and chemical information

- : velocity
- Along the line of sight
  - The velocity along the line of sight easily derived from the Doppler effect  $\delta v/c \sim \delta \lambda/\lambda \sim \delta v/v$  (positive velocity and redshifted wavelengths for receding objects, negative velocity and blueshifted wavelengths for approaching objects)
  - Spectrally resolved line profile → Centroid velocity, velocity dispersion or Full Width at Half Maximum
- Velocity in the plane of the sky ?
  - From proper motions : displacement of the source due to its intrinsic motion
  - Requires accurate positioning and multiple observations spaced in time
  - 100 km/s  $\rightarrow$  ~ 0.05 arcsec/yr in Orion



Collapsing core

Fig. 4. Signatures of collapse, the formation of asymmetric, double-peaked, optically thick has profiles it, collapsing clouds. See text for description.





DSHARP , protoplanetary disks with ALMA

HD 163296 12CO channel ma & velocity field (Armitage+, Isella+)

# Line of sight structure : combine emission & absorption





Absorption and emission provide complementary information

This information can be used to locate the object along the line of sight and for extracting the physical conditions



## Extinction and reddening



- The light from background stars is absorbed and scattered by dust grains
- The extinction is stronger for short wavelengths → reddening effect
- If the distance to the stars is known (e.g. from GAIA) the differential extinction can be used to locate the dust clouds with respect to the stars



## The 3D structure



- The matter accumulates in "clouds"
- Mos of the volume is filled with low density material (n < 1 cm<sup>-3</sup>) with little dust
- Limited spatial resolution

Vergely+2022

### Dust emission (simplistic view)



Galliano+2020, Planck XXIV

Dust grains are well mixed with gas with a gas/dust ratio of ~100

Dust grains produce a thermal grey body emission that can be modelled as

$$\label{eq:lv} \begin{split} & \mathsf{Iv} ~\mathsf{M} \text{grains} ~ \epsilon(\nu) ~ \mathsf{Bv}(\mathsf{Tg}) ~\mathsf{N} \text{gas} ~ \epsilon(\nu) ~ \mathsf{Bv}(\mathsf{Tg}) \\ & \mathsf{With} ~ \mathsf{dust} ~ \mathsf{emissivity} ~ \epsilon(\nu) ~ \mathsf{scaling} ~ \mathsf{as} ~ \nu^\beta \end{split}$$

With measurements of Iv in different frequency bands, the Spectral energy distribution (SED) can be built

The SED fit gives the dust temperature Tg, the dust emissivity index  $\beta$  and the gas column density Ngas (with assumptions on the dust properties)

 ! Not so simple : Mixture of dust grain population & sizes + temperature gradient along the line of sight + variation of emissivity with grain properties ..
 Read carefully the assumptions



# 3D structures in molecular clouds : Filaments

A census of dense cores in TMC1 9



Identification of filaments, measurement of filament width, orientation and linear mass (Kirk+2024)



Figure 10. Comparison of filament position angles to the magnetic field direction. The black curve shows the histogram of all robust filaments towards the TMC1 region. The red-shaded histogram shows the orientation of supercritical filaments. The purple curve shows the normalised histogram of the magnetic field direction across the map sampled on a 1' scale. Both red and black histograms are normalised to the peak of the black histogram.

# Determinations of the gas density, temperature and pressure

- Using the level population of C (fine structure levels) or molecules like CO, C<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, etc. (rotational levels)
- Needs an accurate modeling of the excitation processes (collisions with H, He, H<sub>2</sub>, e- ; radiative pumping ...)
- Hypothesis of single structure associated with a given (Gaussian) velocity component with uniform physical conditions (n, T) and simple geometry (sphere, plane-parallel ..)

## Kinetic Temperature : NH<sub>3</sub>

- Symmetric top molecule Transitions between rotation/inversion states have similar frequencies → can be observed simultaneously with same spectral and angular resolution
- Metastable levels, the relative population depend on Tkin for n ≥ 10<sup>4</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Tkin can be deduced from the relative population of the 2,2 and 1,1 states using radiative transfer calculations based on accurate collision cross sections







Species	Transition $J_{K,\epsilon} - J'_{K',\epsilon'}$	v (GHz)	E <sub>up</sub> (K)	$n_{\rm crit}$ (cm <sup>-3</sup> )
p-NH <sub>3</sub>	$1_{1,-} \to 1_{1,+}$	23.694 496	1.1	$3.90 \times 10^{3}$
p-NH <sub>3</sub>	$2_{2,+} \rightarrow 2_{2,-}$	23.722633	42.3	$3.08 \times 10^{3}$
p-NH <sub>3</sub>	$2_{1,+} \rightarrow 2_{1,-}$	23.098 819	58.3	$1.44 \times 10^{8}$
p-NH <sub>3</sub>	$3_{2,-} \rightarrow 3_{2,+}$	22.834 185	128.1	$3.01 \times 10^{8}$
p-NH <sub>3</sub>	$3_{1,-} \rightarrow 3_{1,+}$	22.234 506	144.0	$5.41 \times 10^{8}$
o-NH <sub>3</sub>	$3_{3,-} \rightarrow 3_{3,+}$	23.870129	123.6	$2.63 \times 10^{3}$
o-NH3	$1_{1,+} \rightarrow 0_{0,+}$	572.498 068	27.5	$5.45 \times 10^{7}$



#### Ho&Townes 1983, Maret+200

### Gas pressures with Cl



Jenkins & Tripp (2011)

Fraction of C atoms in the 1st excited level

11

#### Pressure distribution



Median pressure log(p) = 3.58 + /-0.175

 $p \sim nT \sim 3800 \text{ Kcm}^{-3}$ within a factor 1.5

Jenkins & Tripp (2011)

### Molecular gas excitation : the CO ladder





- Dense PDRs : Orion Bar and NGC 7023. Detection of CO emission up to J =18 !
- $\rightarrow$  Good characterization of the dense gas pressure
- $\rightarrow$  Relation between Pth and G0 : feedback
- Implication for CO emission in active and high z galaxies : small regions can contribute a large fraction of the flux

Joblin+2018

# Gas density : C<sub>2</sub>

- Symmetric molecule : the level populations are very sensitive to the density
- More accurate collisional cross sections : revised density determinations



Sonnentrucker+2007, Neufeld+2024

### Finding molecules : Spectral surveys

- IRC+10216. A template evolved carbon star
- Characteristic double peak line profile
- The line density increases at low brightness level
- Deep integrations (> 100hours)



A&A 658, A39 (2022)

Fig. 2. Overall view of the data with a zoom around 45.6 GHz. Several weak spectral features ( $\sim 1-3$  mK), revealed in this work, are shown in different colors in one of the panels.

Pardo+2022

Gyawali, P., et al.: A&A, 677, A65 (2023)

# Spectral surveys analysis

- Consistent fit of all accessible lines of known molecules with an emission model (e.g. LTE)
- U lines = unassigned spectral features
- Detection of a molecule if
  - A significant number of not blended U lines is fit
  - All lines stronger than the noise level are detected or blended



Gyawali+2023

### Spectral surveys analysis

- Rotation diagram allows to check for the consistency of the identification :
- The slope of ln(Nu/gu) vs Eu/k<sub>B</sub> is 1/Tex
- The intercept provides In(Ntot/Qrot)
- In(Nu/gu) can be deduced from the line integrated intensity W



 $CH_3NH_2$  vt = 0 and vt=1

Nu/gu =  $(8\pi k_B/hc^3) (v[GHz])^2 W [Kkm/s] /A[s^-1]gu ~ 1943 (v[GHz])^2 W [Kkm/s] /A[s^-1]gu$ 

# Physical and chemical information : spectral surveys



3-hydroxypropenal enol



The structure of a Protostar : collapsing cold core + disk + outflow

→ Hot corino = hot region zone (> 100K) near the protostar with a rich spectrum displaying a plethora of molecular lines from complex organic molecules (COMP) and their isotopologues (With D, 13C, 18O, 15N,..) → The ALMA PILS survey of IRAS16293-2422, a double protostar with different spectra for A & B :

- Orientation or Evolutionary effect ?
- Transfert of pristine matter from the core & hot corino to the disk ?



Jorgensen+2016,2020, Manigand+2021, Coutens+2020, Sakai+2017

## The Milky way at different wavelengths



Different morphologies
depending on the wavelength :
→ Complex ISM structure with multiple phases.
→ These different ISM phases are accessible through different radiation processes

and wavelengths

# Probing the ISM Phases with observations

- Information on all phases is necessary for the full picture
- What is needed : Structure of the matter + , dynamics and kinematics
- Total gas content
  - From dust : far IR and submm emission, dust extinction
  - from gamma ray (interaction of cosmic rays with the matter)
  - $\rightarrow$  No kinematics
  - →Dust properties change with the environment : uncertainty in the gas/dust ratio



# Probing the ISM phases with observations : ionized gas

- Diffuse Warm Ionized gas :
  - Hydrogen Recombination lines  $\mbox{H}\alpha$
  - Far infrared fine structure lines including [NII]
- →Absorption along the line of sight consistent with the expected WIM properties (N ~ 1.5 10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, n ~0.1 0.3 cm<sup>-3</sup>, volume filling factor ~0.3)
- $\rightarrow$  Waiting for the ASTHROS balloon ?



#### Probing the ISM phases with observations : atomic gas



Neutral atomic gas can exist in 2 phases : Warm neutral medium with Tk ≥ 4000 K and Cold neutral medium with Tkin ≤ 200 K

Emission along a line of sight is a combination of the 2 phases Absorption is dominated by the cold phase

The combination of emission and absorption HI 21cm data allows to separate the contributions from the warm and cold media

#### Probing the ISM phases from observations : molecular gas

- Hydrides as proxy for  $\rm H_2$  : HF, CH , OH from theory and direct comparison
- HCO<sup>+</sup> absorption can be used as a proxy for H<sub>2</sub> ([HCO<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>] = 3x10<sup>-9</sup> within less than a factor of 2)
- Same threshold for HCO<sup>+</sup> or H<sub>2</sub> detection and same variation with E(B-V)
- Very weak emission → low to moderate densities
   : 50 500 cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Challenge for chemical models





Lucas & Liszt 1996, Liszt+2023, Gerin+2019, Panessa+2023



z=0.89 galaxy along the line of sight to the PKS1830- 1.01 211 Quasar

Gravitational lens  $\rightarrow$  Multiple images  $\rightarrow$  2 sight lines across the lensing galaxy Line

A unique opportunity to probe the ISM at z=0.89

Molecular gas content, density, chemistry, nucleosynthesis, CMB temperature, variation of fundamental constants, etc.

### From local systems to high redshifts

0.5

- CH



-200

0.99

0.98

0.97

- SH

-300



Velocity (km/s, heliocentric frame, z=0.88582)

See Fig.2

#### Muller+2017,2020,2021