

# COSMOLOGY WITH GALAXY SURVEYS

Fuerteventura Cosmology School

REDSHIFT SPACE DISTORTIONS

BARYON ACOUSTIC OSCILLATIONS

HIGHER ORDER STATISTICS





### Outline

- P(k) Estimator & Selection Function
- RSD & BAO in actual surveys
- Bispectrum signal



COSMOLOGY WITH GALAXY SURVEYS

#### Introduction

LPNHE

Dark matter over-density,

$$\delta(r) \equiv \frac{\rho(r)}{\overline{\rho}} - 1 \qquad \delta(k) = \int \delta(x) e^{ikx} dx$$

2-point correlation function (2pCF),  $\langle \delta(r)\delta(r+R)\rangle = \xi(R)$ 

Power Spectrum (PS)  $\langle \delta(k)\delta^*(k')\rangle = (2\pi)^3 P(k)\delta^D(k+k')$ 

The PS and 2-pCF are the observables for RSD and BSO

Héctor Gil-Marín Cosmology School @ Canary Islands



# **Power Spectrum**

Key ingredients for cooking a f $\sigma_8$  / BAO measurement

- 1. Measure  $P^{(0)}(k)$ ,  $P^{(2)}(k)$  from data
- 2. Survey selection function
- 3. Model dark matter power spectra
- 4. Galaxy bias model
- 5. Covariance (error)

Mix it with a MCMC sampler and serve it cold!







# **Power Spectrum**

Key ingredients for cooking a f $\sigma_8$  / BAO measurement

- 1. Measure P<sup>(0)</sup>(k), P<sup>(2)</sup>(k) from data
- 2. Survey selection function
- 3. Model dark matter power spectra ←
- 4. Galaxy bias model 🗕 W. Percival
- 5. Covariance (error) ← A. Cuesta

Mix it with a MCMC sampler and serve it cold!





M. Crocce

D. Blas

Héctor Gil-Marín



# Measuring P(k) from surveys

# Real life problems

- Non-uniform distribution of galaxies
- Varying photometric conditions
- Fibre limitations





# Measuring P(k) from surveys

# Real life problems

- Non-uniform distribution of galaxies
- Varying photometric conditions
- Fibre limitations







# Measuring P(k) from surveys

# Real life problems

- Non-uniform distribution of galaxies
- Varying photometric conditions
- Fibre limitations



$$FKP \text{ function (Feldman et al. 1994)}$$
$$F_2(\vec{r}) = \frac{w(\vec{r})}{\sqrt{I_2}} [n(\vec{r}) - \alpha n_s(\vec{r})]$$

Yamamoto et al. 2006

$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(\ell)}(k) = (2\ell+1) \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int d^{3}r_{1} \int d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2})} \mathcal{O}_{\ell}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{h}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k) \right]$$

line of sight dependence



$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(\ell)}(k) = (2\ell+1) \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int d^{3}r_{1} \int d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2})} \mathscr{D}_{\ell}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{h}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k) \right]$$
Line of sight dependence through
$$\tilde{r}_{h} = \frac{\vec{r}_{1} + \vec{r}_{2}}{2}$$

$$\mathscr{D}_{0}(x) = 1 \qquad \text{Monopole}$$

$$\mathscr{D}_{2}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(3x^{2}-1) \quad \text{Quadrupole}$$
Function we needed to set the equation of the set to CC dependence of the set to CC depe

For the **monopole** no-LOS dependence,

$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(0)}(k) = \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int d^{3}r_{1} \int d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2})} - P_{0}^{noise}(k) \right]$$
Integrals are separable

 $\mathbf{ }$ 



$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(\ell)}(k) = (2\ell+1) \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int d^{3}r_{1} \int d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2})} \mathcal{O}_{\ell}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{h}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k) \right]$$
Line of sight dependence through
$$\tilde{r}_{h} = \frac{\vec{r}_{1} + \vec{r}_{2}}{2}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{0}(x) = 1 \qquad \text{Monopole}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(3x^{2}-1) \quad \text{Quadrupole}$$
Function we can also use LOC dependence of the context of the maximum dependence of the context o

For the **monopole** no-LOS dependence,

$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(0)}(k) = \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int \underbrace{d^{3}r_{1}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})e^{ik\cdot r_{1}}}_{\text{FT}} \int \underbrace{d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{-ik\cdot r_{2}}}_{\text{FT}} - P_{0}^{noise}(k) \right]$$



$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(\ell)}(k) = (2\ell+1) \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int d^{3}r_{1} \int d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2})} \mathcal{O}_{\ell}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{h}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k) \right]$$
Line of sight dependence through  
Legendre Polynomials  

$$\tilde{r}_{h} = \frac{\vec{r}_{1} + \vec{r}_{2}}{2}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\ell}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{h}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k)$$

$$\tilde{r}_{h} = \frac{\vec{r}_{1} + \vec{r}_{2}}{2}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\ell}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{h}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k)$$

$$\tilde{r}_{h} = \frac{\vec{r}_{1} + \vec{r}_{2}}{2}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\ell}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{h}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k)$$
For the merspele no LOS dependence

For the **monopole** no-LOS dependence

$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(0)}(k) = \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \Big[ F_{2}(\vec{k}) F_{2}^{*}(\vec{k}) - P_{0}^{noise}(k) \Big]$$



$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(\ell)}(k) = (2\ell+1) \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int d^{3}r_{1} \int d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2})} \mathcal{O}_{\ell}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{h}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k) \right]$$
Line of sight dependence through
$$\vec{r}_{h} = \frac{\vec{r}_{1} + \vec{r}_{2}}{2}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{0}(x) = 1 \qquad \text{Monopole}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(3x^{2}-1) \qquad \text{Quadrupole}$$

For the **quadrupole**,

Héctor Gil-Marín

$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(2)}(k) = 5 \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int d^{3}r_{1} \int d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{ik\cdot(r_{1}-r_{2})} \mathcal{O}_{2}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{h}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k) \right]$$
  
Integrals are **not** separable



$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(\ell)}(k) = (2\ell+1) \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int d^{3}r_{1} \int d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2})} \mathcal{O}_{\ell}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{h}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k) \right]$$
Line of sight dependence through
$$\vec{r}_{h} = \frac{\vec{r}_{1} + \vec{r}_{2}}{2}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\ell}(x) = 1 \qquad \text{Monopole}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(3x^{2}-1) \qquad \text{Quadrupole}$$
For the **quadrupole**,
$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(2)}(k) = 5 \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int d^{3}r_{1} \int d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2})} \mathcal{O}_{2}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{1}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k) \right]$$
Integrals are separable



$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(\ell)}(k) = (2\ell+1) \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int d^{3}r_{1} \int d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2})} \mathcal{G}_{\ell}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{h}) - P_{\ell}^{noise}(k) \right]$$
Line of sight dependence through
$$\vec{r}_{h} = \frac{\vec{r}_{1} + \vec{r}_{2}}{2}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{0}(x) = 1 \qquad \text{Monopole}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{2}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(3x^{2}-1) \qquad \text{Quadrupole}$$
For the **quadrupole**,
$$\tilde{P}_{g}^{(2)}(k) = 5 \int \frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[ \int d^{3}r_{1}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{1})e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_{1}} \mathcal{G}_{2}(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{r}_{1}) \int d^{3}r_{2}F_{2}(\vec{r}_{2})e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_{2}} - P_{0}^{noise}(k) \right]$$
FT-like

#### Héctor Gil-Marín Cosmology School @ Canary Islands



Héctor Gil-Marín

# Survey selection function

**Issue:** Estimators previously presented,  $\tilde{P}_{g}^{(\ell)}(k)$  do not measure the actual underling power spectrum multipoles.

**Reason:** Non-uniform distribution of galaxies get imprinted inevitably in F(k) after the FT!

**Consequence**: The estimators  $\tilde{P}_{g}^{(\ell)}(k)$  measure a convolution between the actual underling power spectrum multipoles and the survey geometry function





Generalization to higher order multipoles: Wilson et al. 2016

Hankel Transforms:  $\hat{P}^{(\ell)}(k) = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int r^2 \hat{\xi}^{(\ell)}(r) j_{\ell}(kr) dr$ 

spherical Bessel functions



Generalization to higher order multipoles: Wilson et al. 2016

Hankel Transforms: 
$$\hat{P}^{(\ell)}(k) = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int r^2 \hat{\xi}^{(\ell)}(r) j_{\ell}(kr) dr$$

spherical Bessel functions

Masked monopole and quadrupole

$$\hat{\xi}^{(0)}(r) = \xi^{(0)}(r)W_0^2(r) + \frac{1}{5}\xi^{(2)}(r)W_2^2(r) + \frac{1}{9}\xi^{(4)}(r)W_4^2(r) + \dots$$
$$\hat{\xi}^{(2)}(r) = \xi^{(0)}(r)W_2^2(r) + \xi^{(2)}(r)\left[W_0^2(r) + \frac{2}{7}W_2^2(r) + \frac{2}{7}W_4^2(r)\right] + \dots$$

#### Héctor Gil-Marín Cosmology School @ Canary Islands



Generalization to higher order multipoles: Wilson et al. 2016

Hankel Transforms:  $\hat{P}^{(\ell)}(k) = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int r^2 \hat{\xi}^{(\ell)}(r) j_{\ell}(kr) dr$ 

spherical Bessel functions HT of the masked PS HT of the true PS  $\hat{\xi}^{(0)}(r) = \xi^{(0)}(r) W_0^2(r) + \frac{1}{5} \xi^{(2)}(r) W_2^2(r) + \frac{1}{9} \xi^{(4)}(r) W_4^2(r) + \dots$  $\hat{\xi}^{(2)}(r) = \overline{\xi}^{(0)}(r)W_2^2(r) + \overline{\xi}^{(2)}(r) \left[ W_0^2(r) + \frac{2}{7}W_2^2(r) + \frac{2}{7}W_4^2(r) \right] + \dots$ Window Function  $\begin{cases} W_0(r) \to 1 \\ W_2(r) \to 0 \\ W_4(r) \to 0 \end{cases}$  when no selection effect

Héctor Gil-Marín



Generalization to higher order multipoles: Wilson et al. 2016



Héctor Gil-Marín



**Héctor Gil-Marín** 

# **Survey selection function**

Generalization to higher order multipoles: Wilson et al. 2016





#### Importance of the window function for BAO



Héctor Gil-Marín



Importance of the window function for BAO



Héctor Gil-Marín



#### Importance of the window function for BAO



**Héctor Gil-Marín** 



Importance of the window function for RSD

$$P_g(k,\mu;z) = [b_1(z) + f(z)\mu^2]^2 P_{lin}(k,z_0)[\sigma_8^2(z) / \sigma_8^2(z_0)] + \dots$$

$$P_{g}^{(0)}(k;z) = \frac{P_{lin}(k;z_{0})}{\sigma_{8}^{2}(z_{0})} \sigma_{8}^{2}(z) \left[ b_{1}(z)^{2} + \frac{2}{3}f(z)b_{1}(z) + \frac{1}{5}f^{2}(z) \right] + \dots$$

$$P_{g}^{(2)}(k;z) = \frac{P_{lin}(k;z_{0})}{\sigma_{8}^{2}(z_{0})} \sigma_{8}^{2}(z) \left[ \frac{4}{3}f(z)b_{1}(z) + \frac{4}{7}f(z)^{2} \right] + \dots$$

2 Eq. & 2 free param.

$$\sigma_1(z)\sigma_8(z) \qquad f(z)\sigma_8(z)$$

Héctor Gil-Marín





**Héctor Gil-Marín** 

PNHE

• Quantity which is essentially non-linear If all the  $\delta(\mathbf{k})$  modes evolve linearly (and the initial conditions are Gaussian) the bispectrum is 0 and all the information on the system of objects/galaxies is described by the power spectrum.

Probability of finding 3 galaxies separated by r, s and t:  $P_3(r, s, t) =$  $[1 + \xi_2(r) + \xi_2(s) + \xi_2(t) + \zeta(r, s, t)]dV_1 dV_2 dV_3$ The bispectrum is defined as the FT of  $\zeta$ ,

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

$$B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \langle \delta(\mathbf{k}_1) \delta(\mathbf{k}_2) \delta(\mathbf{k}_3) \rangle \delta^D(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)$$

Héctor Gil-Marín

LPNHE

• Quantity which is essentially non-linear If all the  $\delta(\mathbf{k})$  modes evolve linearly (and the initial conditions are Gaussian) the bispectrum is 0 and all the information on the system of objects/galaxies is described by the power spectrum.

$$\delta(k) = \delta^{(1)}(k) + \delta^{(2)}(k) + \dots$$
 Perturbative expansion (see. M. Crocce Talk)

$$\delta^{(n)}(\vec{k}) = \int \tilde{F}_n(\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_n) \delta^{(1)}(\vec{q}_1) \dots \delta^{(1)}(\vec{q}_n) d^3 q_1 \dots d^3 q_n \qquad \qquad \vec{k} = \vec{q}_1 + \dots + \vec{q}_n$$

$$\tilde{F}_n(\vec{q}_1,...,\vec{q}_n)$$
 non-symmetrised kernel of n-order

To be computed from recursive relations

Héctor Gil-Marín

LPNHE

• Quantity which is essentially non-linear If all the  $\delta(\mathbf{k})$  modes evolve linearly (and the initial conditions are Gaussian) the bispectrum is 0 and all the information on the system of objects/galaxies is described by the power spectrum.

$$\delta(k) = \delta^{(1)}(k) + \delta^{(2)}(k) + \dots$$
 Perturbative (see M

Perturbative expansion (see. M. Crocce Talk)

 $\left\langle \delta(k)\delta(k')\right\rangle = \left\langle \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(1)}(k')\right\rangle + 2\left\langle \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(2)}(k')\right\rangle + \left\langle \delta^{(2)}(k)\delta^{(2)}(k')\right\rangle + 2\left\langle \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(3)}(k')\right\rangle + \dots$ 

LPNHE

Quantity which is essentially non-linear If all the  $\delta(\mathbf{k})$  modes evolve linearly (and the initial conditions are Gaussian) the bispectrum is 0 and all the information on the system of objects/galaxies is described by the power spectrum.

$$\begin{split} & \left\{ \delta(k) = \delta^{(1)}(k) + \delta^{(2)}(k) + \dots \right\} \\ & \left\{ \delta(k)\delta(k') \right\} = \left\{ \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(1)}(k') \right\} + \left\{ 2\left\langle \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(2)}(k') \right\rangle + \left\{ \delta^{(2)}(k)\delta^{(2)}(k') \right\} + \left\langle \delta^{(2)}(k)\delta^{(2)}(k') \right\rangle + 2\left\langle \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(3)}(k') \right\rangle + \dots \right\} \\ & \left\{ \text{linear term} \right\} \\ & \left\{ \delta(k)^2 \right\rangle \quad \left\langle \delta(k)^3 \right\rangle \qquad \left\langle \delta(k)^4 \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Héctor Gil-Marín

LPNHE

• Quantity which is essentially non-linear If all the  $\delta(\mathbf{k})$  modes evolve linearly (and the initial conditions are Gaussian) the bispectrum is 0 and all the information on the system of objects/galaxies is described by the power spectrum.

$$\delta(k) = \delta^{(1)}(k) + \delta^{(2)}(k) + \dots$$
 Pertur

Perturbative expansion (see. M. Crocce Talk)

 $\left\langle \delta(k)\delta(k')\delta(k'')\right\rangle = \left\langle \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(1)}(k')\delta^{(1)}(k'')\right\rangle + 2\left\langle \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(1)}(k')\delta^{(2)}(k'')\right\rangle + \dots$ 

LPNHE

• Quantity which is essentially non-linear If all the  $\delta(\mathbf{k})$  modes evolve linearly (and the initial conditions are Gaussian) the bispectrum is 0 and all the information on the system of objects/galaxies is described by the power spectrum.

$$\begin{split} \delta(k) &= \delta^{(1)}(k) + \delta^{(2)}(k) + \dots \\ \left\{ \delta(k)\delta(k')\delta(k'') \right\} &= \begin{cases} \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(1)}(k')\delta^{(1)}(k'') \\ \delta^{(1)}(k')\delta^{(1)}(k'') \\ \delta^{(1)}(k')\delta^{(1)}(k'') \\ \delta^{(1)}(k')\delta^{(1)}(k'') \\ \delta^{(1)}(k')\delta^{(2)}(k'') \\ \delta^{(2)}(k'') \\ \delta^{(2)}(k'$$

Seiusalli et al. ZUI

Héctor Gil-Marín

LPNHE

PARIS

Plotting the Power Spectrum  $P(k,\mu) \rightarrow P^{(0)}(k), P^{(2)}(k), \dots$ 

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

Héctor Gil-Marín

.PNHE

PARIS

Plotting the Bispectrum

 $B(k_1, k_2, k_3) \rightarrow B(k_3; k_1, k_2)$ 

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

Plotting the Bispectrum  $B(k_1, k_2, k_3) \rightarrow B(k_3; k_1, k_2)$ 

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $\overline{B(k_1,k_2,k_3)} \to \overline{B}^{equi}(k_1)$ 

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

Héctor Gil-Marín

COSMOLOGY WITH GALAXY SURVEYS

### Bispectrum

LPNHE

PARIS

Without loss of generality  $k_1 \le k_2 \le k_3 \longrightarrow k_1 + k_2 \ge k_3 \ge k_2$ 

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Héctor Gil-Marín** 

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $\overline{B(k_1,k_2,k_3)} \to \overline{B}^{equi}(k_1)$ 

![](_page_36_Figure_4.jpeg)

Héctor Gil-Marín

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $B(k_1,k_2,k_3) \to B(I)$ 

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

Héctor Gil-Marín

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $B(k_1,k_2,k_3) \to B(I)$ 

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

Héctor Gil-Marín

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $B(k_1,k_2,k_3) \to B(I)$ 

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

Héctor Gil-Marín

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

For a Gaussian initial conditions,

 $\langle \delta(k)\delta(k')\delta(k'')\rangle = 2\langle \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(1)}(k')\delta^{(2)}(k'')\rangle + \dots$ 

 $B^{tree}(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2) = 2P_{lin}(k_1)P_{lin}(k_2)\tilde{F}_2^{(s)}(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2) + cyc.$ 

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

For a Gaussian initial conditions,

 $\langle \delta(k)\delta(k')\delta(k'')\rangle = 2\langle \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(1)}(k')\delta^{(2)}(k'')\rangle + \dots$ 

 $B^{tree}(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2) = 2P_{lin}(k_1)P_{lin}(k_2(\tilde{F}_2^{(s)})\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2) + cyc.$ 

where...

$$F_2^{(s)}(\vec{k}_i, \vec{k}_j) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{1}{2}\cos(\alpha_{ij})\left[\frac{k_i}{k_j} + \frac{k_j}{k_i}\right] + \frac{2}{7}\cos^2(\alpha_{ij})$$
 derived for a Equation (1)

Weak dependence on  $\Omega$ , but sensitive to modifications of GR

Leading order term in **bispectrum** is sensitive to GR

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

For a Gaussian initial conditions,

$$\langle \delta(k)\delta(k')\delta(k'')\rangle = 2\langle \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(1)}(k')\delta^{(2)}(k'')\rangle + \dots$$

$$B^{tree}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) = 2P_{lin}(k_1)P_{lin}(k_2 (\tilde{F}_2^{(s)})(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) + cyc$$

where...

$$\left| F_2^{(s)}(\vec{k}_i, \vec{k}_j) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{1}{2}\cos(\alpha_{ij}) \left[ \frac{k_i}{k_j} \right] \right|$$

![](_page_42_Figure_8.jpeg)

Weak dependence on  $\Omega$ , but sensitive to modifications of GR

Characteristic U-shape

Leading order term in **bispectrum** is sensitive to GR

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

For a Gaussian initial conditions,

$$\delta(k)\delta(k')\delta(k'')\rangle = 2\langle \delta^{(1)}(k)\delta^{(1)}(k')\delta^{(2)}(k'')\rangle + \dots$$

$$B^{tree}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) = 2P_{lin}(k_1)P_{lin}(k_2(\tilde{F}_2^{(s)})\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) + cyc$$

where...

$$F_{2}^{(s)}(\vec{k}_{i},\vec{k}_{j}) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{1}{2}\cos(\alpha_{ij}) \left[\frac{k_{i}}{k_{j}}\right]$$

![](_page_43_Figure_8.jpeg)

Weak dependence on  $\Omega$ , but sensitive to modifications of GR

k<sub>2</sub>

Kз

 $k_1$ 

#### Characteristic U-shape

![](_page_43_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $\frac{4}{7}(1-b_1)$  tidal tensor

non-local Eulerian

# **Bispectrum of galaxies** Bias model (McDonald & Roy 2009) Do PT but on $\delta_{q}(\mathbf{k})$ non-linear Eulerian $\delta_g(\mathbf{x}) = \underbrace{b_1 \delta(\mathbf{x})}_{l} + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{b_2[\delta(\mathbf{x})^2]}_{l} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b_s^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})^2 \end{bmatrix}$ linear Eulerian **PT** expansion $\overline{\delta(k)} = \delta^{(1)}(k) + \delta^{(2)}(k) + \dots$ $B_{g}(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2}) = b_{1}^{4}\sigma_{8}^{4} \left\{ 2P_{lin}(k_{1})P_{lin}(k_{2}) \left[ \frac{1}{b_{1}}F_{2}^{(s)}(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2}) + \frac{b_{2}}{2b_{1}^{2}} + \frac{2}{7b_{1}^{2}}(1-b_{1})S_{2}(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2}) \right] + cyc. \right\}$

Shape dependence sensitive to b<sub>2</sub> (non-linear term) Shape dependence sensitive to b<sub>s2</sub> (tidal tensor term)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Bispectrum of galaxies**

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Bispectrum of galaxies in redshift space**

Bispectrum of galaxies in configuration space

$$B_{g}(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2}) = b_{1}^{4} \sigma_{8}^{4} \left\{ 2P_{lin}(k_{1})P_{lin}(k_{2}) \left[ \frac{1}{b_{1}}F_{2}^{(s)}(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2}) + \frac{b_{2}}{2b_{1}^{2}} + \frac{2}{7b_{1}^{2}}(1-b_{1})S_{2}(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2}) \right] + cyc. \right\}$$

Redshift space Kernels 
$$\begin{cases} F_1 \rightarrow Z_1 \\ F_2 \rightarrow Z_2 \end{cases}$$

$$Z_{1}(\vec{k}) = (b_{1} + f\mu^{2}) \quad \text{Kaiser like}$$

$$Z_{2}(\vec{k}_{i}, \vec{k}_{j}) = b_{1} \left[ F_{2}(\vec{k}_{1}, \vec{k}_{2}) + \frac{f\mu k}{2} \left( \frac{\mu_{1}}{k_{1}} + \frac{\mu_{2}}{k_{2}} \right) \right] + f\mu^{2} G_{2}(\vec{k}_{1}, \vec{k}_{2}) + \frac{f^{3}\mu k}{2} \mu_{1} \mu_{2} \left( \frac{\mu_{2}}{k_{1}} + \frac{\mu_{1}}{k_{2}} \right) + \frac{b_{2}}{2} + \frac{2}{7} (1 - b_{1}) S_{2}(\vec{k}_{1}, \vec{k}_{2}) + \frac{velocity kernel}{2} \left[ F_{2}(\vec{k}_{1}, \vec{k}_{2}) + \frac{f^{3}\mu k}{2} \mu_{1} \mu_{2} \left( \frac{\mu_{2}}{k_{1}} + \frac{\mu_{1}}{k_{2}} \right) + \frac{b_{2}}{2} + \frac{2}{7} (1 - b_{1}) S_{2}(\vec{k}_{1}, \vec{k}_{2}) \right]$$

Bispectrum of galaxies in redshift space

$$B_{g}^{(s)}(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2}) = \sigma_{8}^{4} \left[ 2P_{lin}(k_{1})P_{lin}(k_{2})Z_{1}(\vec{k}_{1})Z_{1}(\vec{k}_{2})Z^{(s)}_{2}(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2}) + cyc. \right]$$

#### Héctor Gil-Marín

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Héctor Gil-Marín** 

### Bispectrum of galaxies in redshift space

60 Nbody DM simulations

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

Figure 10. Best-fitting parameters for dark matter simulations in redshift space at z = 0.5 for  $k_{\text{max}} = 0.15$ when different statistics are used: blue points correspond to  $P^{(0)} + B^{(0)}$ , green points to  $P^{(0)} + P^{(2)}$  and red points to  $P^{(0)} + P^{(2)} + B^{(0)}$  as indicated. The dashed black lines mark the true values. The green dashed lines mark the  $b_1 \propto \sigma_8^{-1}$  and the  $f \propto \sigma_8^{-1}$  relations. Note that  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , f,  $\sigma_8$ ,  $\sigma_0^P$ ,  $\sigma_0^B$  are varied as free parameters, although only  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , f and  $\sigma_8$  are shown for clarity. Gil-Marín et al. 2014

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Héctor Gil-Marín** 

#### **Bispectrum of galaxies in redshift space**

60 Nbody DM simulations

![](_page_48_Figure_4.jpeg)

Figure 10. Best-fitting parameters for dark matter simulations in redshift space at z = 0.5 for  $k_{\text{max}} = 0.15$ when different statistics are used: blue points correspond to  $P^{(0)} + B^{(0)}$ , green points to  $P^{(0)} + P^{(2)}$  and red points to  $P^{(0)} + P^{(2)} + B^{(0)}$  as indicated. The dashed black lines mark the true values. The green dashed lines mark the  $b_1 \propto \sigma_8^{-1}$  and the  $f \propto \sigma_8^{-1}$  relations. Note that  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , f,  $\sigma_8$ ,  $\sigma_0^P$ ,  $\sigma_0^B$  are varied as free parameters, although only  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , f and  $\sigma_8$  are shown for clarity. Gil-Marín et al. 2014

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Bispectrum of galaxies in redshift space

![](_page_49_Figure_3.jpeg)

Remember...

**Héctor Gil-Marín** 

$$P_g^{(0)}(k) = P_{lin}(k)\sigma_8^2 \left[ b_1^2 + \frac{2}{3}fb_1 + \frac{1}{5}f^2 \right] + \dots$$
$$P_g^{(2)}(k) = P_{lin}(k)\sigma_8^2 \left[ \frac{4}{3}fb_1 + \frac{4}{7}f^2 \right] + \dots$$

r dark matter simulations in redshift space at z = 0.5 for  $k_{\text{max}} = 0.15$ points correspond to  $P^{(0)} + B^{(0)}$ , green points to  $P^{(0)} + P^{(2)}$  and red 1. The dashed black lines mark the true values. The green dashed lines elations. Note that  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , f,  $\sigma_8$ ,  $\sigma_0^P$ ,  $\sigma_0^B$  are varied as free parameters, wn for clarity.

Gil-Marín et al. 2014

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Bispectrum of galaxies in redshift space

Efficiency of  $f\sigma_8$  and  $b_1\sigma_8$  breaking degeneracy

![](_page_50_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Bispectrum and BAO**

- Bispectrum as an alternative to reconstruction techniques.
- After reconstruction the bispectrum signal is significantly reduced to 0
- Reconstruction is pulling information from B back into P
- By measuring P and B in the pre-reconstructed field we can recover post-reconstruction BAO information without assuming GR nor Ω<sub>m</sub>

![](_page_51_Picture_7.jpeg)

(see W. Percival talk)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Bispectrum of galaxies in redshift space

Conclusions

- Bispectrum is a non-linear quantity (even at first order)
- Leading order term in **bispectrum** is sensitive to GR
- Shape dependence sensitive to b<sub>2</sub> (non-linear term) and b<sub>s2</sub> (tidal tensor term)
- f and  $\sigma_8$  can be measured independently

![](_page_52_Figure_8.jpeg)

Héctor Gil-Marín

![](_page_52_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_10.jpeg)