Supernova cosmology in 2014

Pilar Ruiz-Lapuente IFF (CSIC) & ICC (UB) Fuerteventura, June 6, 2014

Introduction

- Type Ia supernovae at z close 2 !
- Rising the standard for standard candles (GTC Project also Keck)
- Observing SNeIa in the infrared
- H_0 from SNela



Best-fit confidence regions in the $\Omega_M - \Omega_\Lambda$ plane. The 68%, 90%, and 99% statistical confidence regions are shown (Perlmutter et al. 1999)

Calibrated candles through the relation magnitude- rate of decline

Pskvoskii-Branch effect (known in the 80's)

Phillips (1993) Δm₁₅

Riess, Press and Kirshner (1995)

MLCS

Perlmutter et al. (1996): stretch *s*

σ≈0.15 mag

With color information

σ≈0.11 mag



The physical Phillips' relation

 M_{B} vs $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ diagram using the CfA3 sample of 185 SNela (Hicken et al. 2009). The Phillips et al. (1999) relation is shown by the solid line. The diversity of **SNIax** is taken from Narayan et al. (2011). The area covered by the Ca-rich transients is marked following Kasliwal et al. (2012). The data on the luminous, fastevolving SNela come from Perets et al. (2011). The very fast SN 2002bj is not shown.



There are three different possible distance measurements in Cosmology: from the brightness of objects of known luminosity, from the angular size of objects of known dimension, and from the proper (angular) motion of objects traveling with known velocity perpendicularly to the line of sight. Each of them is related to the redshift z via the cosmological parameters q, Ω_M , Ω_Λ , and Ω_k The *luminosity distance*, d_L , is simply defined as

$$d_L \equiv \left(\frac{L}{4\pi f}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where L is the luminosity and f the measured flux. As a function of z, H_0 , and q_0

$$H_0 d_L = z + \frac{1}{2}(1+q_0)z^2 + \dots$$

But more interesting is the full dependence on the three density parameters Ω_M , Ω_Λ , and Ω_k :

$$d_L(z) = cH_0^{-1}(1+z)|\Omega_k|^{-1/2}sinn\left\{|\Omega_k|^{1/2}\int_0^z dz'[(1+z')^2(1+\Omega_M z') - z'(2+z')\Omega_\Lambda]^{-1/2}\right\}$$

where *sinn(x)* = *sin(x)*, *x*, or *sinh(x)* for closed, flat, and open universes, respectively



Riess et al. (2007)



Combined SNIa, CMB and BAO constraints in the (Ω_M, w) plane

 $(w \equiv p/\rho; w = -1 \text{ for vacuum energy})$ (Amanullah et al. 2010)



Confidence regions in the (w_0, w_a) plane, combining SNeIa, CMB, and BAO

(Amanullah et al. 2010)

Union2

Fit	Ω_M	Ω_M w/Sys	Ω_k	Ω_k w/Sys	w	w w/Sys
SNe	$0.270^{+0.021}_{-0.021}$	$0.274^{+0.040}_{-0.037}$	0 (fixed)	0 (fixed)	-1 (fixed)	-1 (fixed)
SNe+BAO+H ₀	$0.309^{+0.032}_{-0.032}$	0.316+0.036	0 (fixed)	0 (fixed)	$-1.114^{+0.098}_{-0.112}$	-1.154+0.131 -0.150
SNe+CMB	$0.268^{+0.019}_{-0.017}$	$0.269^{+0.023}_{-0.022}$	0 (fixed)	0 (fixed)	$-0.997^{+0.050}_{-0.055}$	$-0.999^{+0.074}_{-0.079}$
SNe+BAO+CMB	$0.277^{+0.014}_{-0.014}$	$0.279^{+0.017}_{-0.016}$	0 (fixed)	0 (fixed)	$-1.009^{+0.050}_{-0.054}$	$-0.997^{+0.077}_{-0.082}$
SNe+BAO+CMB	$0.278^{+0.014}_{-0.014}$	$0.282^{+0.018}_{-0.016}$	$-0.003^{+0.006}_{-0.006}$	$-0.004^{+0.006}_{-0.007}$	-1 (fixed)	-1 (fixed)
SNe+BAO+CMB	$0.281^{+0.016}_{-0.015}$	$0.282^{+0.018}_{-0.016}$	$-0.004^{+0.007}_{-0.007}$	$-0.005^{+0.008}_{-0.007}$	$-1.029^{+0.056}_{-0.059}$	$-1.038^{+0.093}_{-0.097}$
SNe+BAO+CMB+H0	$0.275^{+0.015}_{-0.014}$	$0.274^{+0.016}_{-0.015}$	$-0.001^{+0.006}_{-0.006}$	$-0.002^{+0.007}_{-0.007}$	$-1.024^{+0.055}_{-0.058}$	$-1.052^{+0.092}_{-0.096}$

Fit Results on Cosmological Parameters Ω_M , w, and Ω_k

Note. The parameter values are followed by their statistical (first column) and statistical and systematic (second column) uncertainties.

 $w = -0.997 \pm 0.08$ (flat) $w = -1.038 \pm 0.09$ (allowing curvature)

At $z \ge 1$ the existence and nature of dark energy are only weakly constrained by the data



The cosmological constant case (bold line) is compared with evolving models close to w = -1, i.e., a model with $w_0 = -1.0$ and $w_a = -1.5$ (short dashed line) and a model with $w_0 = -1.0$ and $w_a + 1.5$ (dash-dotted line). Only very accurate measurements will enable to discriminate among different equations of state

Redshift 1.71 supernova



ACS image of the SN location. Lower right panel shows a composite image from the three colors. Lines indicate the dispersion direction in ACS (dashed) and WFC3 (dotted) spectroscopy (Rubin et al. 2013)

Redshift 1.71 supernova



Each panel shows a comparison between SN SCP-0401 and another SN. Bestmatching comparison SN Ia in the left panels, best-matching CC SN in the right panels. Best match is for SN1992A. Out of 17 CC SN, only SN1983N is a possible match, but it was 2 mag fainter than any typical SN Ia (Rubin et al. 2013)

Redshift 1.71 supernova



Most recent *SCP* Hubble diagram, with Primo (Rodney et a. 2012) and SCP-0401 SNe added (Rubin et al. 2013)

CANDELS

The Cosmic Assembly Near-IR Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey, CANDELS (Grogin et al. 2011;Koekemoer et al. 2011), PI: S. Faber, Co-PI, H. Ferguson, is designed to document the first third of galactic evolution from z = 8 to 1.5 via deep imaging of more than 250,000 galaxies with WFC3/IR and ACS. It will also discover and characterize Type Ia SNe beyond z > 1.5and establish their accuracy as standard candles for cosmology

Most distant SN Ia to date



z = 1.914

Jones et al. (2013)

Most distant SN Ia to date



Jones et al. (2013)

Most distant SN Ia to date



Jones et al. (2013)

Precision cosmology with SNela

Systematic uncertainties:

- Dust extinction
- Possible evolution of the intrinsic properties of SNeIa

Evidence of two distinct populations of SNeIa hosts: SNeIa in earlytype galaxies can be distinguished from those that occur in late-type galaxies

SNeIa and mass of the host galaxy

The evidence suggests that, after light—curve shape corrections, larger—stellar mass galaxies host the brightests SNeIa.

 $\Delta M_{\rm B} \approx 0.075 \text{ mag brighter}$ (Goobar and Leibundgut 2011)

 It is found that SNe Ia in environments with Hα emission (star forming regions) are redder by 0.036 ± 0.017 mag. (Rigault et al. 2013).

SNe Ia associated with local Hα emission are more homogeneous, resulting in a brightness dispersion of only 0.105 ± 0.012 mag (Rigault et al. 2013).

SNela in progenitor populations

• Currently, using SALT2.2 to correct for light curve shape $(x_1 \text{ parameter})$ and color excess (c), from the apparent peak blue magnitude m_B , the distance modulus is given by:

$$\mu_{\rm SN} = m_{\rm B} - M_{\rm B} - \alpha x_1 + \beta c$$

where α , β , and $M_{\rm B}$ are nuisance parameters determined simultaneously with cosmological parameters. That reduces the scatter of $\mu_{\rm SN}$ about the best-fit model to $\approx 15\%$, down from the $\approx 50\%$ scatter for uncorrected absolute peak magnitudes

Kim et al. (2014) suggest another light-curve fitter K13 The brighter supernovae correlated with massive galaxies is only seen as 0.045 +± 0.026mag effect.

Need to understand the physics of SN Ia brightness variations and of empirical brightness calibrations and the possible evolution of those calibrations and of SN Ia demographics

Hubble residuals and host galaxy properties



(Kim et al. 2014)

SNela in progenitor populations

- Likely candidates are progenitor age and metallicity (correlated with the mass in stars and the specific star-formation rates of galaxies)
- Both evolve along the z range probed by SNeIa, but in distinct ways
- Differences in age or composition of the progenitor white dwarfs may influence SN Ia explosion products and, in particular, the amount of ⁵⁶Ni produced, which is directly linked to peak luminosity
- Brighter SNeIa are associated with younger stellar populations. Galaxies having such populations also produce many more SNeIa per unit stellar mass than galaxies with older stars
- Photometric studies of those correlations are hampered by the agemetallicity degeneracy.
- Absorption line spectroscopic studies, restricted to passively evolving stellar populations, can probe age, metallicity, and even the abundances of some chemical elements

- Spectroscopic survey of 40 passive SNeIa hosts, at high signal-to-noise ratio, enough to separate age and metallicity constraints
- Obtained constraints on the abundances of individual elements
- Used 10-m class telescopes: Keck-1 (LIRIS) and GTC (OSIRIS)
- Used a program to measure the smearing of the absorption lines attribuable to the galaxy's velocity dispersion
- Used the stellar population synthesis code EZ_AGES



Sample spectra of SN host galaxies. Data are in black, best fits in green, and residuals in red (Meyers et al, incl. PRL and J. Méndez, in preparation, 2013)





Spectra of two SNeIa host galaxies, obtained with OSIRIS in the GTC





Spectra of two SNeIa host galaxies, obtained with OSIRIS in the GTC

SNela in progenitor populations

TABLE 3 Fits to Age and [Fe/H]

Ordinate	Abscissa	slope	P(sign flip)			
Fits including SN2005fh						
x_1	log(age)	$-2.028(3.5\sigma)$	0.00125			
x_1	[Fe/H]	$5.618(1.5\sigma)$	0.06380			
color	log(age)	$-0.085(1.0\sigma)$	0.15355			
color	[Fe/H]	$1.171(1.8\sigma)$	0.03723			
HR	log(age)	$0.122(1.0\sigma)$	0.15677			
HR	[Fe/H]	$-0.025(0.0\sigma)$	0.49335			
Fits excluding SN2005fh						
x_1	log(age)	-2.302 (4.7σ)	0.00000			
x_1	[Fe/H]	$5.868(1.8\sigma)$	0.03228			
color	log(age)	$-0.069(0.8\sigma)$	0.21407			
color	[Fe/H]	$1.083(1.7\sigma)$	0.04610			
HR	log(age)	$0.137 (1.1\sigma)$	0.14702			
HR	[Fe/H]	-0.194 (0.1σ)	0.44590			

NOTE. — The slope column indicates both the median slope of the posterior distribution returned by LINFIT and in parentheses the significance computed as the median slope divided by half the range of the smallest interval containing 68% of the posterior probability.

TABLE 4 Fits to Metal Enhancements

Ordinate	Abscissa	slope	P(sign flip)			
Fits including SN2005fh						
x_1	[Mg/Fe]	$-2.514(1.4\sigma)$	0.07740			
x_1	[C/Fe]	$2.437(1.3\sigma)$	0.08672			
x_1	[N/Fe]	$-2.020(2.8\sigma)$	0.00955			
x_1	[Ca/Fe]	$-4.582(0.3\sigma)$	0.36757			
color	[Mg/Fe]	$-0.084(0.4\sigma)$	0.34767			
color	[C/Fe]	$-0.088(0.4\sigma)$	0.34385			
color	[N/Fe]	-0.225 (2.5σ)	0.01300			
color	[Ca/Fe]	-1.391 (0.6σ)	0.26830			
HR	[Mg/Fe]	-0.391 (1.2σ)	0.13000			
HR	[C/Fe]	$-0.386(1.5\sigma)$	0.09185			
HR	[N/Fe]	$0.080(0.5\sigma)$	0.30905			
HR	[Ca/Fe]	$-0.434(0.1\sigma)$	0.44750			
Fits excluding SN2005fh						
x_1	[Mg/Fe]	-1.786 (1.0σ)	0.15163			
x_1	[C/Fe]	5.173 (2.5σ)	0.00340			
x_1	[N/Fe]	-1.885 (2.7σ)	0.00708			
x_1	[Ca/Fe]	$-4.104(0.3\sigma)$	0.34910			
color	[Mg/Fe]	-0.144 (0.6σ)	0.27138			
color	[C/Fe]	-0.261 (0.9σ)	0.16387			
color	[N/Fe]	-0.223 (2.4σ)	0.01155			
color	[Ca/Fe]	-1.531 (0.7σ)	0.23022			
HR	[Mg/Fe]	$-0.439(1.3\sigma)$	0.10430			
HR	[C/Fe]	-0.500 (1.7σ)	0.06768			
HR	[N/Fe]	$0.081(0.5\sigma)$	0.30867			
HR	[Ca/Fe]	-0.311 (0.1σ)	0.46288			

NOTE. — The slope column indicates both the median slope of the posterior distribution returned by LINFIT and in parentheses the significance computed as the median slope divided by half the range of the smallest interval containing 68% of the posterior probability.

SNela in progenitor populations

Possible theoretical interpretations:

• C mass fraction in C+O white dwarfs is smaller if the stars formed in lower metallicity or older environments. They produce fainter SN Ia (Umeda et al. 1999)

- On the contrary, it has been argued that lower metallicity progenitors should produce more ⁵⁶Ni. That due to smaller neutron abundances (less ²²Ne coming from CNO, at the end of the He-burning phase). However, scatter plots of x₁ against the `predicted mass of radioactive Ni do not show any trend. Suggests that neutronization due to metallicity is not the dominant source of x₁ diversity in SN Ia
 - A still unexplored point is that older white dwarf progenitors have also been cooling longer. Their interiors have partially crystallized and that should affect the ignition and the initial stages of propagation of the thermonuclear burning





Correlations between age (left) and metallicity (right) of the host galaxies with the SALT2.2 parameters x_1 and c of the SNeIa light curves, and with the Hubble residuals



The SALT2.2 colorparameter c plotted against host galaxy metal abundances [Mg/Fe], [C/Fe], [N/Fe], and [Ca/Fe]



SN Hubble residuals plotted against host galaxy metal abundances [Mg/Fe], [C/Fe], [N/Fe], and [Ca/Fe]

The strongest correlation between any SN Ia property and host galaxy property is that of the light curve shape parameter x_1 with the log of the host galaxy age. The significance of this trend is 3.5 σ , taking the full sample, and 4.7 σ if the outlier SN2005fh is removed

There is also a trend, with significance 1.8 σ , between x_1 and [Fe/H]

There is a 1.7 σ correlation between host galaxy [Fe/H] and SN color, but no correlation with galaxy age

Neither galaxy age nor [Fe/H] appear to influence Hubble residuals.

[C/Fe] and {N/Fe] are also correlated with x_1 (2.5 σ and 2.7 σ , respectively)

SN Ia in high-z galaxy clusters





A new proposal



H-band SN Ia Hubble diagram. It includes 23 SN Ia observed with PAIRITEL (Wood-Vasey et al. (2008)

A new proposal

Fits of absolute *YJH* magnitude *vs* decline rate



(Kattner et al. 2012)

WFIRST



(Dressler et al. 2010)

WFIRST/JWST

WFIRST: 2.4m 0.281 sqdeg FOV 2700 Sne Ia with z=0.1-1.7 It goes to 20,000 Å: Classical use in cooperation with ground based telescopes

JWST: 6.5m 2x2 arcmin FOV Sne Ia up to z=3.5 with the classical use H-band (restframe 15000 Å) infrared candle up to high z Number of Sne Ia depends on panel.

The Hubble constant



The Hubble constant



Conclusions

- We have observed, for the first time, SNeIa at z > 1.5
- The most distant SNeIa fit the *ACDM* model
- It has been confirmed that SNeIa with the largest stretches are found in star-forming galaxies
- There is no metallicity dependence of the stretch.
- The above indicates that stretch mainly depends on the ages of the SNeIa progenitor
- It appears that SNeIa are better standard candles in the infrared, mild stretch correction being needed there