collisional formation of massive stars in accreting clusters

Nick Moeckel Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge

with Cathie Clarke

The Origin of Stellar Masses, Tenerife 19 October 2010

CONSTELLATION school on numerical astrophysics and its role in star formation



"N-body codes can't tell us anything more about star formation" Matthew Bate (paraphrased, but only slightly) January 23, 2009

n-body techniques for star formation We've reached the end of the usefulness of standard n-body studies applied to very young clusters. spherical initial conditions gas treated, if at all, as a spherical potential otherwise, no gas-star interaction sub-structured ICs (Aarseth & Hills 1972; McMillan+2007; Allison+2009; Moeckel & Bonnell 2009)

spheroidal gas potential, introduce stars over time (Adams+2006; Proszkow+2009)

early dynamical evolution occurs with gas present. can't ignore this.

numerical context



N-body: fast, no gas, large-N. Initial conditions?

Now, and the near future:

Faster

More correct

still fast, but take steps toward a more consistent hydro treatment.

Hydro: slow, selfconsistent gas-star interactions.



initial conditions for simulations

start with low mass seeds 0.03 - 3.0 Mo, Salpeter slope

grow in mass linearly with time, proportional to mass (so the IMF shape is preserved)

Plummer spheres, 32k stars

gas potential that lowers with increasing stellar mass

after stars have grown to max of 30 M_o , gas removed

global sfe = 30%

collisions with perfect efficiency



(gas/stars) evolves, determines behavior

gas potential dominates the dynamics, accretion drives contraction of stellar component

I) accretion
behaves as if gas
flowing from an
external reservoir



2) mass segregation can begin to drive core collapse time move into pure n-body dynamics



mass segregation and collapse begin during accretion





earlier core collapse = more collisions $r_v = 2.25 \text{ pc}$ $r_v = 0.75 \text{ pc}$ $r_v = 1.5 \text{ pc}$ $r_v = 3.0 \text{ pc}$ 10¹ 10¹ 10¹ 10¹ 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.9 10⁰ 0.15 0.05 10⁰ 10⁰ 10⁰ 0.5 0.15 0.05 0.5 0.15 0.05 0.01 0.01 0.15 r_L / pc rլ / pc r_ / pc 0.01 r_ / pc 0.05 10-10-10⁻¹ 10^{-1} 10⁻² 10^{-2} 10^{-2} 10^{-2} 10⁻³ 10^{-3} 10^{-3} 10^{-3} 3 0 2 3 2 3 0 0 2 0 1 1 t / Myr t / Myr t / Myr t / Myr 10² 10² 10² 10² M/M_{\odot} M/M_{\odot} M/M_{\odot} M/M_{\odot} 10¹ 10¹ 10¹ 10¹ 10⁰ 10⁰ 10⁰ 10⁰ 10-10-1 10-10-1 2 3 2 3 3 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 t / Myr t / Myr t / Myr t / Myr 602, 46, 39 100 276, 37 344 143 masses $> 30 M_{o}$ 145 356, 31 368 65,45 at 2 Myr 321 57, 39



ONC-like system 2 collisions in 5 simulations

binaries formed at core collapse efficiently inflate the core with low-n cluster compare to the Arches: mass density Arches probably the most likely local place to look for something like this. These experiments aren't specifically tailored to the Arches, but can check bulk cluster properties.



compare to the Arches: surface density







10⁰



conclusions

accretion during cluster formation can easily lead to high very densities

with populous compact clusters, collisions occurgenerally have a runaway character

not going to smoothly fill up the upper IMF like this, but can get exotic objects

offers a way to form stars at comfortable separations, shrink the cluster, leave it compact after gas expulsion

binaries

replace most massive 1% of stars with equal-mass, circular binaries set to be hard at the end of accretion.

'depth' of core collapse is shallower, so binaries are affecting the relaxation dynamics. collisions rate enhanced.

