## PICO - Probe of Inflation and Cosmic Origins

Shaul Hanany University of Minnesota

Subscribe: <u>cmbprobe@lists.physics.umn.edu</u> Learn + participate: <u>https://z.umn.edu/cmbprobe</u>

### NASA Prep for Decadal2020

#### Set up 8 Probe Mission Studies; Probe = \$400M - \$1000M

- Transient Astrophysics Probe (Camp, GSFC)
- Cosmic Dawn Intensity Mapper (Cooray, UC Irvine)
- Cosmic Evolution through UV Spectroscopy (Danchi, GSFC)
- Galaxy Evolution Probe (Glenn, UColorado)
- High Spatial Resolution X-Ray Probe (Mushotzky, UMaryland)
- Multi-Messenger Astrophysics (Olinto, UChicago)
- Precise Radial Velocity Observatory (Plavchan, Missouri State)



- Studies are 18 months long
- Studies will produce 50 pg. reports
- Reports will include cost estimates
- NASA will conduct independent cost review
- Report + independent cost review will be submitted to the **Decadal Panel**
- Reports are due by 12/2018
- Mission start 2023
- Mission launch 64 months after start.

https://z.umn.edu/cmbprobe

### Process + Outcomes

cmbprobe@lists.physics.umn.edu



#### **PICO Science Goals and Probes**



and with 0.6-0.9 uK\*arcmin

### PICO in Brief

- Millimeter/submillimeter-wave, polarimetric survey of the entire sky
- 21 bands between 20 GHz and 800 GHz
- 1.4 m aperture telescope
- Diffraction limited resolution: 38' to 1'
- 13,000 transition edge sensor bolometers
- 5 year survey from L2
- 0.87 uK\*arcmin requirement; 0.61 uK\*arcmin goal (=CBE)

https://z.umn.edu/cmbprobe



<u>cmbprobe@lists.physics.umn.edu</u>



### PICO Implementation





- 2-reflector "Open Dragone" Telescope
- Ambient temperature primary
- 4 K aperture stop
- 4 K secondary reflector
- 0.1 K focal plane
- Spinning instrument, static bus
- Spin: 1 rpm; Precess: 10 hours
- 50% sky coverage in 10 hours

Young et al. SPIE Vol.10698; 1808.01369





#### PICO Responds to all NASA Science Goals

#### Explore how the Universe began

#### Discover how the Universe works

#### • Explore how the Universe evolved



- Detect / set upper bound on the energy scale of inflation; constrain inflation models
  - Requirement:  $\sigma(r, r=0) \le 1 \cdot 10^{-4}$  $\sigma(n_s) = 1 \cdot 10^{-3}$
  - CMB4Cast (Fisher), (Feeney + Errard JCAP 2016)
    - Internal delensing (80%)
    - Maximum likelihood parametric fitting of Planck 2015-constrained foreground sky
    - Dust+Synch spectral indices varying (15 deg patches)
    - 60% of sky
  - Does not include systematic uncertainties
  - Is not map-based foreground separation

#### Explore How the Universe Began - Inflation





- Working also with map-based cleaning
- Several component separation approaches
  - Commander1 (PSM; Remazeilles)
  - NILC (Basak)
  - SEVEM (Barreiro)
  - (Errard)

#### Map Based

• 7 different full sky models, all consistent with Planck but somewhat different foreground realizations; 100 noise realizations, 50 w/r=0, 50 w/r=0.003; each in various degrees of (fake) delensing levels (1, 0.3, 0.15, 0.003); also PSM



• + GNILC (Remazeilles), Commander2 (Wehus+), xForecast + multi-patch



#### Models:

- 91 PySM a1d1f1s1 ; 1608.02841
- 92 PySM a2d4f1s3
- 93 PySM a2d7f1s3
- 96 Brandon's MHD
- 98 Delabrouille ++, Multi-layer dust; 1706.04162
- 99 Vansyngel; 1611.02577

#### Map Based Models

# 90 - Gaussian dust and synchrotron, uniform amplitude

### The Role of High Frequency Bands





M. Remazeilles

Synchrotron curvature, Cs = 0.3 over the entire sky, also fitted

### The Effects of Averaging





**Bias due to averaging issues, as expected: by degrading the native Nside=512** sky maps to Nside=16, the foreground SEDs are mixed up (no longer MBB and power laws) → mismatch between the fitted foreground model and the effective Nside=16 model



#### Commander reconstruction of CMB B-modes **PySM 90.91** (a1d1f1s1)

#### PySM 90.91 simulation (Clem Pryke)

M. Remazeilles

Native resolution nside=512

Commander analysis nside = 16



#### The Challenge of low \ell Foregrounds - SEVEM

#### Preliminary Results



- Experiments with masks
- For spectra shown, masks are based on residuals of that model

#### The Challenge of low \ell Foregrounds - NILC









### The Challenge of low \ell Foregrounds

#### What's next

- SEVEM attempting coarser resolution
- NILC more realizations
- Graca is estimating parameters
- More methods soon



#### Explore how the Universe Evolved - Reionization





 $au \propto Z_{re}$  (Planck, PICO)  $\left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)_{ksz} \propto \Delta Z_{re}$  (S3, SPT) Transition from neutral to ionize

Transition from neutral to ionized Universe controlled by 'IGM Opacity' and 'Source Efficiency'



- Constrain the number of light relics in the early Universe
- $\sigma(N_{eff}) = 0.03$
- Constraint is proportional to number of  $\ell$  modes in TT, TE, and EE
- Extend range of energy to exclude new species by
  - x300 for vector particle
  - x2.5 for scalar particle
  - Cross the QCD phase transition

#### Discover How The Universe Works - Neff







- Determine the sum of neutrino masses
- Measurements of the matter power spectrum, primarily through the lensing potential power spectrum (\ell~1000)
- Break degeneracy between  $\tau$  and  $\Omega_m h^2$  with DESI-BAO
- $\sigma(\Sigma m_{\nu}) = 14 \text{ meV}$
- $4\sigma$  or  $7\sigma$  detection
- Similar, independent constraint from PICO cluster counts
- PICO gives both tau and lensing

#### Discover How The Universe Works - Neutrino Mass



#### Foreground Effects on phi-phi and delensing



Colin applies ILC Foreground separation in the power spectrum using PICO noise (model includes frequency + EE+ BB from planck/WMAP + extrapolated to high \ell) gives post subtraction (higher) noise levels to Alex Alex recalculates S/N for phi-phi or for delensing level on BB





- Extract cosmological parameters with 140,000 clusters, 1000 with z>2
  - using thermal SZ, full sky
  - Planck: ~2000
  - S3: 20,000
- $\sigma(\Sigma m_{\nu}) \simeq 15 \text{ meV}$
- $\sigma(\omega_0) = 0.022$  (x3.5 planck)  $\sigma(\omega_a) = 0.13$  (x2.5 planck)

#### Discover how the Universe Works - Dark Energy





#### Discover How The Universe Works - Dark Matter



Constrain low energy dark matter cross section
Region not accessible to direction detection experiments
x25 improvement relative to PlancK





#### Explore how the Universe Evolved

#### Map magnetic field for our Entire Galaxy

Planck, 5'



 $\sigma_p \leq 0.33\%$ 

PICO, smoothed to 5'

#### PICO, 1'

Figure: Fissel, Chuss



- Discover 4500 highly magnified dusty galaxies at z up to  $\sim 5$ ;
- Discover tens of thousands protoclusters extending to high redshift  $(Z \sim 4);$
- Detect polarization of 4000 radio and FIR-emitting galaxies;
- x10-1000 more than known today
- Probe star formation history; determine galaxy and cluster formation and evolution; learn about dark matter substructure; and measure properties of jets in radio-loud sources.

#### Legacy Science

NSF News Release Dec. 6, 2017



Massive primordial galaxies found in 'halo' of dark matter



Marrone et al. 2017; two strongly lensed massive galaxies, z=6.9 (SPT initial detection)



Ivison et al. 2013; protocluster core, z=2.4(Herschel initial detection)



#### High-ell Foregrounds CIB decorrelation may ultimately limit high-ell component separation for tSZ/kSZ science



Planck+ (2013); Mak+ (2017); Alvarez/CITA Peak-Patch Sim.

Colin Hill IAS/CCA

data points = Planck curves = CITA sims.

even 1% residual CIB could be an issue for some SZ science

synergy: high-freq. PICO channels can be used to clean lower-freq. S4 maps

(ideally w/ comparable angular resolution)



MMF = Multifrequency Matched Filter SZ+dust MMF (assuming dust SED is a power law at all z)



#### Systematics - (Absolute) Gain Calibration



BB



### • Did you consider a spectrometer?

• Yes

• Did you consider a LiteBIRD type mission

Yes and No

• What does 'endorsing the report' imply?

 That you think there are still opportunities for CMB in space and you encourage NASA to stay in the CMB business

#### FAQ



- and evolution:
  - All unique goals for the PICO measurements
  - optimally stable platform.
- Engineering + costing study complete:
  - Technology implementation is a straightforward extension of today's technologies; no technological breakthroughs required
  - Mission is a good fit to the cost window
- next decade

### PICO Summary

Inflation, quantum gravity, particle physics, extragalactic and galactic structure

 PICO is the only instrument with the combination of sky coverage, resolution, frequency bands, and sensitivity to achieve all of this science with a single,

• We very much hope to see NASA continue to support CMB from space in the

Additional Slides



- Determine the relative roles of turbulence and magnetic field in Milky Way dynamics and star formation efficiency
  - Resolve Bfield structure in 8 nearby clouds at core scale (0.1pc), 10 at filament scale (0.5pc).
    - Currently: none.
  - Resolve Bfield structure in >2000 galactic clouds with 1pc resolution to compare large scale turbulence and magnetic field.
    - Currently: 14 (Planck)

#### Galactic Dynamics + Star Formation







- Determine the sum of neutrino masses
- Measurements of the matter power spectrum, primarily through the lensing potential power spectrum (\ell~1000) **10<sup>0</sup>**
- Break degeneracy between  $\tau$ DESI-BAO
- $\sigma(\Sigma m_{\nu}) = 14 \text{ meV}$
- $4\sigma$  or  $7\sigma$  detection
- Similar, independent constraint from PICO cluster counts
- PICO gives both tau and lensing



#### Discover How The Universe Works - Neutrino Mass

### Schedule for Launch



- Phase A (12 months): No I+T
- Phase B Device Level (12 months): •
- Phase C Subsystem Level (22 months):
  - Phase C1 (10 months):
    - Cooler qualification (Slide 4)
    - Reflector cryogenic photogrammetry (Slide 4)
  - Phases C2 (12 months):
    - Focal plane + SubK cooler I&T (Slide 5)
- Phase D System I&T + Launch (18 months)
  - Phase D1 (14 months)
    - Integration of reflectors and focal plane (slide 6)
    - Observatory end-to-end I&T (slide 6)
  - Phase D2 (4 months)
    - Launch Ops



#### Schedule and Tasks

# • Device level detector testing [Foundries, University Labs] (Slide 3)

#### Commander1



#### Sky simulations 1: **PSM**







#### smoothed to 1 degree for illustration purposes



#### Systematics - Polarization Rotation





foreground separation

Lensing reduction by a factor of ~7:  $A_L = 0.14$ 

• S/N > 10 on lensing potential power spectrum across broad range of



### Delensing



#### S4 Inflation Constraints

Designed to provide detection of r>0.003

• r < 0.001 (95%)

• 3-8% of sky

• r >= 0.004 (5 sigma) in 4 years

• r >= 0.003 (5 sigma) in 8 years

- Open Dragone Telescope
  - No direct view to sky
  - No three-reflection sidelobe
  - Cold stop (without cooling) primary mirror)
- Design includes enhancement to DLFOV through coma correction
- Primary mirror at ~40 K;
- Stop + secondary actively cooled to  $\sim 6$  K;
- Focal plane @ 0.1 K with cADR

# Optics + Cooling





### Spectrometer, Imager, or both?

• We began by considering which instrument(s) to implement

• The EC concluded that there is strong case for two Probe scale missions, one devoted to spectroscopy and another to imaging

 The EC considered the case for a combined mission and concluded that it will weaken both instruments

PICO will be given detailed costing as an imaging mission.

#### Decadal Panel 2010: New space Activities - Medium Projects

- CMB listed as a strategic program (priority 2, after exoplanet searches)
- Sub-orbital program to continue search for the B-mode signal
- Continued investment in technology development
- "A successful detection of B-modes from inflation could trigger a middecade shift in focus toward preparing to map them over the entire sky."

"Wait and see what we learn from Planck"





### PICO and Sub-Orbital CMB Efforts

PICO's capabilities are not matched by any other foreseeable experiment

- Full sky coverage with ~4' resolution (and the same depth S4 has on 5% of the sky)
- Access to the entire range of angular scales of the Bmode signal, including the largest, while maintaining the capability to delens



10 100 100 3000Multipole moment  $\ell$ 



### PICO and Sub-Orbital CMB Efforts

- Unmatched/unmatcheable frequency coverage
  - Galactic foregrounds are known to overwhelm the cosmological B-mode signal
  - Signals are at the nano-K level: even low level of residual foregrounds can bias the measurement
- Space gives the most systematic-error-robust platform
  - Signals are at the nano-K level





#### Is the Milky Way Typical? Dust in Milky Way

- Map sub-mm emission from the ISM in 70 nearby galaxies
  - Only handful mapped to date.

 Constrain the shape and composition of interstellar dust grains



![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Simple Foreground Model

- 2 component dust model (a-la) Finkbeiner et al)
- Synchrotron with power law frequency dependence
- $\ell$  dependence consistent with Planck and WMAP
- Includes correlation between dust and synchrotron, consistent with current data
- Model does not include:
  - spatial variation of the spectral index
  - spatial variation of dust temperature
- Foreground separation based on ILC
- 40% of sky (70% of sky reduces

![](_page_42_Figure_12.jpeg)

 $\sigma(r)$ 

![](_page_42_Figure_18.jpeg)