First Detection of oscillations in the Halo giant HD 122563: $\log g$ and distance

O. Creevey^{1,*}, F. Grundahl², F. Thévenin¹, E. Corsaro³, P. L. Pallé⁴, D. Salabert^{5,**}, B. Pichon¹, R. Collet², L. Bigot¹, V. Antoci², and M. F. Andersen²

- ¹ Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire Lagrange, France e-mail: ocreevey@oca.eu
- ² Stellar Astrophysics Centre, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 120, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark
- ³ INAF Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania, via S. Sofia 78, 95123-I, Catania, Italy
- ⁴ IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, 91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- ⁵ Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias, 38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

Pionering study: Wallerstein et al. 1961

V= 6.19, Galac. Coord. = 350.16 +65.80 : direction of the Galactic north pole

Parallax=3.444+-0.063 mas (DR2), 4.22 (HIP) PM=202.291 mas Distance = 290 pc Teff~4600K Logg~1.3 (non-ETL), 1.6 (Creevey+12) [Fe/H]~ -2.4, [alpha/Fe]~ +0.4 Age~ 11.2 Ga nu_max(Creevey+12)= 5 microHz







- Why to monitor that star?
 - Problem with HR diagram, log g (evol vs spectro) : MLT (Creevey+12)
 - get an accurate age of Popll stars
 - use to calibrate scaling relations



- The present status of the SONG observations
- Start JD = 2457509.4, ongoing (actually end JD 2458356.4), exp. time=900s
- Accuracy of the VRs measurements: (~11 to 14m/s, e.g. metal-poor)



Table 1. Observed Properties of HD 122563 used in this work

- Creevey+12
- Karovicova+18
- Gaia DR2
- nu_max:3.09

Propert	у	Value	Source
$ \begin{array}{c} \nu_{\max} \\ \theta_{\text{LD,A}} \\ F_{\text{bol,A}} \\ T_{\text{eff}A} \\ \theta_{\text{LD,B}} \\ F_{\text{bol,B}} \\ T_{\text{eff}B} \end{array} $	$[\mu Hz]$ [mas] [erg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²] [K] [erg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²] [K]	$\begin{array}{c} 3.093 \substack{+0.014 \\ -0.011} \\ 0.940 \pm 0.011 \\ 13.16 \pm 0.36 \text{ e}{-8} \\ 4598 \pm 41 \\ 0.926 \pm 0.011 \\ 13.20 \pm 0.29 \text{ e}{-8} \\ 4636 \pm 36 \end{array}$	this work C12 C12 C12 K18 K18 K18 K18
π_{HIPP} π_{GDR2}	[mas] [mas]	4.22 ± 0.36 3.444 ± 0.063	Lindegren et al. (20)

We used nu_max scaling relation to infer the distance







- The distance a key point •
- 0.926+-0.11 mas (Karovikova+18) •



Fig.	3.	Distances	to	HD	122563	derived	using	parallaxes	(black	and
grey) aı	nd asterose	isn	nic in	nference	s (red an	d blue)		

Р	Seismolo	Ngy	GDR2 H	Parallax
	C12	K18	C12	K18
$\log g$ [dex] d [pc]	1.395^{+2}_{-3} 304^{+10}_{-8}	1.397_{-3}^{+3} 309_{-11}^{+8} 20.7_{+8}^{+8}	1.43^{+3}_{-3} 290^{+5}_{-5}	1.45^{+3}_{-3}
$\begin{array}{ll} R_{\star} & [\mathcal{R}_{\odot}] \\ L_{\star} & [\mathcal{L}_{\odot}] \end{array}$	30.8^{+7}_{-9}	30.7^{+8}_{-9}	29.3_{-7}^{+0}	28.9^{+6}_{-6}
	379^{+24}_{-25}	393^{+23}_{-28}	346_{-16}^{+17}	348^{+15}_{-11}



Fig. 3. Distributions of log g using asteroseismic data. The green and red ndicate the classical relation along with the observed properties from C12 and K18, respectively. The blue lines indicate the revised parameers in Eq. 1. See Sect. 3.1 for details.







• NG

Collet+18

- What the HR diagram teach us now?
- The mixing length problem: 3D prediction by Magic et al. or Ludwig et al. : ~1.6 OK?









HD 122563 : some conclusions

- We need more point for testing the scaling relations for metal-poor stars. Relations seems ok whitout strong corrections.
- Individual frequencies requested to better constrain the age

That star and its physics is now under control by :

Photometry, spectrometry, astrometry, interferometry and asteroseismology

for

cosmology: to constrain epochs in the Halo phase of the Milky-Way





