

Linking characteristic timescales and spatial scales for quasi-periodic pulsations in solar flares

> Chloë E. Pugh University of Warwick, UK

Supervisors:

Valery M. Nakariakov, Anne-Marie Broomhall







European Research Council Established by the European Commission

Quasi-periodic pulsations (QPPs)

- Time-variations of the intensity of light emitted by a flare
- First observed in solar flares by Parks & Winckler (1969)
- Example of QPPs in a solar flare: The Seven Sisters Flare, observed by Kane et al. (1983)
- Seem to be a fairly common feature of flares



Quasi-periodic pulsations

Two groups of possible mechanisms:

- Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) oscillations ...
 ..of the flaring structure
 ..of a nearby structure –
- Load/unload or 'magnetic dripping' mechanisms of energy release (periodically induced reconnection)



Solar flare QPP statistical study

- 181 GOES class flares from a single (very) active region
- 137 C-class, 38 M-class, 6
 X-class
- Observations from GOES, EVE, Fermi, Vernov, NoRH
- Do QPP properties relate to properties of the flares or flaring region?







, 1217

NOAA 12209

Detecting the QPPs

- Flare time series have power-law power
 spectra, due to trends and correlated noise
- Need to account for this dependence when searching for peaks
- Eg. Vaughan 2005, Inglis et al. 2016, Auchère et al. 2016, Pugh et al. 2017a



Detecting the QPPs

- Example solar flare observed by Nobeyama Radioheliograph
- Power spectrum confidence levels calculated according to Pugh et al.
 2017a/b
- Left: Correlation time series of part of a flare
- Right: Periodogram with a peak above 99% confidence level, at a period of ~10 seconds



The set of flares with significant QPPs

- Out of 181 flares: 37 with periodic signal above 95% global confidence level (20% of sample)
- Right: histogram of QPP periods, with mean period of 20⁺¹⁶-9 seconds
- Pugh et al. 2017b



The set of flares with significant QPPs

- Seven of these flares have the same QPP signal detected above the 95% confidence level in data from two different instruments
- *Right:* 27 s period detected in both GOES/XRS and EVE/ESP light curves



Relation to flare properties?

- QPP periods plotted against flare amplitude, flare duration (impulsive phase), and the duration of the QPP signal
- Period vs flare/QPP duration: can't detect long-period shortduration QPP signals, but should be able to detect shortperiod long-duration signals



Relation to flare properties?

- Extension of Inglis et al. 2016 by Laura Hayes includes all X, M, C class flares 2011-2016
- They also find no correlation with GOES class
- and a significant correlation with flare duration



Determining flare ribbon properties

- Only including flares where the AR was within +/- 60° of disk centre, so line of sight effects can be accounted for
- Follow approach of Toriumi et al. 2017 combine AIA 1600Å and HMI data to determine spatial scales and magnetic field strength of flare ribbons



Relation to flare ribbon properties?

- QPP period correlates with flare ribbon area (*left*), separation distance (*middle*), and average magnetic field strength (*right*)
- Strongest correlation with ribbon separation distance



Relation to flare ribbon properties?

Toriumi et al. 2017 showed that these ribbon properties correlate with the flare duration



Relation to flare properties?



Hayes et al. 2018 (in prep) shows a long-lasting QPP signal with an increasing period (~65-164 s)

Could be linked to the expansion of the flare loop structure



Y-position [arcsec]

Summary

- Using a sample of solar flares from a single active region
- 20% of flares have a periodic signal above the 95% global confidence level in the power spectra
- Correlations between QPP period and flare ribbon properties
- Preliminary results of Hayes et al. 2018 (in prep) also suggest a link between the QPP period and the size of the flaring loop structure
- This could be used as a constraint for QPP models
- Further work: assessment of potential of observational bias to affect QPP period vs flare duration relationship, using simulated data