Prospects of Deep Field Surveys with Global-MCAO on an ELT

Elisa Portaluri^{*a,b}, Valentina Viotto^{a,b}, Roberto Ragazzoni^{a,b}, Marco Gullieuszik^{a,b}, Maria Bergomi^{a,b}, Federico Biondi^{a,b}, Elena Carolo^{a,b}, Simonetta Chinellato^{a,b}, Marco Dima^{a,b}, Jacopo Farinato^{a,b}, Davide Greggio^{a,b}, Demetrio Magrin^{a,b}, Luca Marafatto^{a,b}, Gabriele Umbriaco^{a,b,c}, and Daniele Vassallo^{a,b,c}

^aINAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, Padova, Italy ^bADONI - Laboratorio Nazionale Ottiche Adattive, Italy ^cDipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università degli Studi di Padova, Italy

ABSTRACT

Several astronomical surveys aimed at the investigation of the extragalactic components were carried out in order to map systematically the universe and its constituents. An excellent level of detail is needed, and it is possible only using space telescopes or with the application of adaptive optics (AO) techniques for ground-based observatories. By simulating K-band observations of 6000 high-redshift galaxies in the *Chandra Deep Field South* region, we have already shown how an extremely large telescope can carry out photometric surveys successfully using the Global-MCAO, a natural guide stars based technique that allows the development of extragalactic research, otherwise impracticable without using laser guide stars. As the outcome of the analysis represents an impact science case for the new instruments on upcoming ground-based telescopes, here we show how the investigation of other observed deep fields could profit from such a technique. Further to an overview of the surveys suitable for the proposed approach, we show preliminary estimations both on geometrical (FoV and height) and purely AO perspectives (richness and homogeneity of guide stars in the area) for planned giant telescopes.

Keywords: Global-MCAO - E-ELT - instrumentation: adaptive optics galaxies: structure - galaxies: photometry - surveys

1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the formation and evolution of galaxies is a lively debated topic in astrophysics, because the advent of more powerful telescopes stimulated more detailed theories and numerical simulations to interpret new observations. The main issue concerns the role of the competing scenarios in shaping galaxies: large protogalaxies formed through a dissipational collapse according to the monolithic scenario, whereas galaxies are the result of successive merging between small structures in the hierarchical merging process. Cold or hot-flow accretion, mergers involving gas or not (dissipational or dissipationless), feedback and outflows, and rapid monolithic aggregation are paradigms that live together within theoretical frameworks for understanding the early formation, structural properties, and evolution of galaxies. Observations spanning the full history of the Universe are critical for developing the knowledge of these processes: the early building blocks of galaxies can be studied at z > 6, earlier redshift galaxies (1 < z < 4) span the peak of the massive galaxy building era, while, locally, dwarf galaxies can provide a fossil bed of relics from the low-mass end of the galaxy formation spectrum.

Deep field surveys answered to several astrophysical questions, providing a wide database of objects at large and intermediate redshifts. In fact, in about 20 years of observations, a lot of sky regions were mapped and Table 1 shows a list of them that can not be exhaustive. Some of these runs were combined together in order to merge the information in the different bands, making a synergy that is fundamental in order to have a comprehensive view of Universe. In this framework, the NASA's Great Observatories Program can be considered one of the

^{*}elisa.portaluri@oapd.inaf.it

Survey name	RA	DEC	Field of View	Reference
	[°]	[°]	[square arcmin]	
HDF	189.2042	62.2161	5	1
NDWFS-Bootes	217.500	34.5000	3.2410^4	2
NDWFS-Cetus	31.8708	-4.7356	3.3110^4	2
HDF-S	338.2458	-60.5508	5.3	3
CDF-S	53.1167	-27.8083	3.9610^2	4
DLS-1	13.3542	12.5653	1.4410^4	5
DLS-2	139.5000	30.0000	1.4410^4	5
DLS-3	80.0000	-49.0000	1.4410^4	5
DLS-4	163.0000	-5.0000	1.4410^4	5
DLS-5	208.7500	-10.0000	1.4410^4	5
DLS-6	32.5000	-4.5000	1.4410^4	5
DLS-7	218.0000	34.2800	1.4410^4	5
EGSS	214.2500	52.5000	7.0010^2	6
GEMS	53.1042	-27.8139	8.0010^2	7
GOODS-N	189.2292	62.2375	1.6010^2	8
GOODS-S	53.1250	-27.8056	1.6010^2	8
SubaruDF	201.1625	27.4906	9.1810^2	9
COSMOS	150.1167	2.2058	7.20010^3	10
GSS	214.4042	52.4828	1.2710^{2}	11
HUDF	53.1625	-27.7914	11	12
CFHTLS-D1	36.4958	-4.4944	3.6010^{3}	13
CFHTLS-D2	150.1167	2.2083	3.6010^{3}	13
CFHTLS-D3	214.8625	52.6822	3.6010^{3}	13
CFHTLS-D4	333.8792	-17.7320	3.6010^{3}	13
AEGIS	214.2500	52.5000	7.0010^2	14
UKIDSS-DXS1	36.2500	-4.5000	1.2610^{5}	15
UKIDSS-DXS2	164.2500	57.6667	1.2610^{5}	15
UKIDSS-DXS3	242.5	54.0000	1.2610^{5}	15
UKIDSS-DXS4	334.2500	0.3333	1.2610^{5}	15
UKIDSS-UD	36.2500	-4.5000	2.7710^{3}	15
HUDF9	53.1625	-27.7914	4.7	16
C_GOODS-N	189.2286	62.2385	9.1810^2	17
C_GOODS-S	53.1228	-27.8050	1.1210^{3}	17
C_COSMOS	150.1163	2.2010	1.4410^4	17
C_EGS	214.8250	52.8250	7.2010^3	17
C_UDS	34.4062	-5.2000	7.2010^3	17
UDF12	53.1625	-27.7914	5	18
XDF	53.1583	-27.7833	10.9	19

Table 1. Observing parameters for some of the most-studied surveys. Column 1: Name of the survey. Column 2: Right ascension and Column 3: Declination of the central pointing. Column 4: Field of view area. Column 5: Reference.

best example of this synergy, being a mission designed to examine specific wavelength/energy regions of the electromagnetic spectrum using different technologies and observatories.

A number of future space- and ground-based facilities will definitely contribute to this topic, starting from the next generation of the ELTs, such as the European Extremely Large Telescope,²⁰ the Giant Magellan Telescope²¹ and the Thirty Meter Telescope,²² whose main characteristics are listed in Table 2.

Moreover, in order to widely progress in this field and to improve the potentialities, two routes can be followed: the sole improvement of the engineering side of the existing instruments, or the attempt to turn into reality novel concepts and new ideas. Being the latter an exciting challenge, [23] proposed a new Adaptive Optics technique, called Global-Multi Conjugate Adaptive Optics (GMCAO), in which a wide field of view (labelled technical FoV) can be used to look for natural guide stars and correct the smaller scientific FoV. For a review of the concept and the implementation of an example of such a system, see [24].

Table 2. Observing capabilities of the ELTs. Column 1: Telescope Name. Column 2: Diameter of the primary mirror. Column 3: Site with coordinates. Column 4: Telescope field of view. Column 5: Resolution. Column 6: Filters.

Telescope	Diameter	Site	Field of View	Resolution	Filters
	[m]	[°]		[mas]	
E-ELT (MICADO)	39	Cerro Armazones (-70.19,-24.59)	$53'' \times 53''$	4	ІгҮЈНК
			$16'' \times 16''$	1.5	
GMT (GMTIFS)	25.4	Las Campanas (-70.69,-29.02)	$20.4'' \times 20.4''$	5	ЈНК
TMT (IRIS)	30	Mauna Kea (-155.47,19.82)	$34'' \times 34''$	4	ЈНК
		La Palma $(-17.89, 28.89)$			

[25] presented a scientific case in the area of applicability of the GMCAO technique, recovering the structural parameters of a sample of 6000 synthetic high-redshift galaxies observed in the *Chandra Deep Field South* region with a GMCAO-assisted extremely large telescope (ELT) and performing the source detection and two-dimensional fitting analysis. These studies have shown that the GMCAO approach can produce robust results when studying the photometry of extragalactic fields and can provide a useful frame of reference for a number of science cases. Here, we want to investigate if it is possible to extend the same evaluation to other deep fields, and therefore if GMCAO can be used to map all the regions of the sky. The selection of some representative surveys and their observabilities with the planned ELTs are shown in Section 2, while in Section 3 preliminary estimations on AO perspectives are given.

2. SURVEYS SELECTION AND OBJECTS VISIBILITY

To have a hint of the visibility of the most-studied deep fields with GMCAO, we selected 10 surveys in 10 different regions of the sky: CDF-S (already studied by [25]), HDF-S, GOODS-S, NDFS-Cetus, C_UDF,GOODS-N, EGSS/CFHTLS-D3, COSMOS/CFHTLS-D2, SubaruDF, and NDWFS-Bootes. We used the tool STAROBS* that measures the observability of objects plotting how altitude changes over a year according to the ELTs site coordinates, as shown in Figure 1, 2, 3. Depending on the mutual position (site and sky coordinates), the surveys we have chosen can be considered as perfectly visible, even if we exclude altitudes below 30°, for instrumental limits and for the high value of the airmass.

3. GEOMETRICAL AND AO CONSIDERATION

In our simulations, the GMCAO method is implemented and tested using 6 natural guide stars, therefore the knowledge of the stellar density over the sky is a fundamental request for the applicability of such a technique. First, we decided to make a theoretical simulation using TRILEGAL,²⁶ a population synthesis code that simulates

^{*}http://catserver.ing.iac.es/staralt/



Figure 1. Objects visibility for the 10 selected surveys from the GMT site coordinates. Image courtesy of the Isaac Newton Group of Telescopes, La Palma.



Figure 2. As in Figure 1 but referred to the ELT site coordinates. Image courtesy of the Isaac Newton Group of Telescopes, La Palma.



Figure 3. As in Figure 1 but referred to the TMT site coordinates. Image courtesy of the Isaac Newton Group of Telescopes, La Palma.

the stellar photometry of our Galaxy: we built a grid in the whole sky and recover the number of stars within 1 square degree with R > 18 mag. Figure 4 shows the dependence of the star density according to the galactic latitude and longitude. As expected, the latitude plays a key role, however in all the cases, there will be enough stars to apply GMCAO. Obviously, the more stars available will be, the better performance we will expect because the possibility to select a good asterism is higher, as reported in Figure 5, which also shows that, beyond the increase of the encircled energy, a SR of 30% can be reached. The region above 60 arcsec is excluded because we did not consider stars within the scientific field and also we rejected stars that are close each other less than 10 arcsec to avoid overlapping effects.



Figure 4. Left-hand panel: star density per square degree as a function of the latitude. The scattering is due to different positions over the grid. The red points represent the mean. Right-hand panel: star density per square degree as a function of the longitude. Dashed lines are for the southern hemisphere.



Figure 5. SR that can be obtained with GMCAO according to the asterism maximum radius of the natural guide stars.

Moreover, we can use the GAIA first release (DR1, [27]) to access real data of stars with g magnitude between 8 and 18 and measure at random positions the star density within the technical FoV area (78.7 square arcmin), as shown in Figure 6. White regions are areas not covered by our random investigation. As expected, the Milky Way plane and the Magellanic Clouds have the maximum concentration of stars, confirming the theoretical predictions done using TRILEGAL.



Figure 6. Logarithm of the GAIA DR1 density of stars with 8 < g < 18 within the GMCAO technical field area over the whole sky.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The era of the next generation of giant telescopes requires not only the advent of new technologies but also the development of novel methods, in order to exploit fully the extraordinary potential they are built for. GMCAO pursues this approach, with the goal of achieving good performance over a field of view of a few arcmin and an increase in sky coverage. In this work we have shown that GMCAO is a reliable approach to assist ELT observations of extragalactic interest, especially for the photometric survey strategy. This technique can be applicable to all the sky, as theoretical counts and observational data show, giving a gain in terms of increase of the encircled energy and SRs up to 30% for the best asterisms.

REFERENCES

[1] Williams, R. E., Blacker, B., Dickinson, M., Dixon, W. V. D., Ferguson, H. C., Fruchter, A. S., Giavalisco, M., Gilliland, and et al., "The hubble deep field: Observations, data reduction, and galaxy photometry"

- [2] Jannuzi, B. T. and Dey, A., "The NOAO Deep Wide-Field Survey", in [Photometric Redshifts and the Detection of High Redshift Galaxies], Weymann, R., Storrie-Lombardi, L., Sawicki, M., and Brunner, R., eds., Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series 191, 111 (1999).
- [3] Williams, R. E., Baum, S., Bergeron, L. E., Bernstein, N., Blacker, B. S., Boyle, B. J., Brown, T. M., Carollo, and et al., "The Hubble Deep Field South: Formulation of the Observing Campaign", AJ 120, 2735–2746 (Dec. 2000).
- [4] Giacconi, R., Rosati, P., Tozzi, P., Nonino, M., Hasinger, G., Norman, C., Bergeron, J., Borgani, and et al., "First Results from the X-Ray and Optical Survey of the Chandra Deep Field South", ApJ 551, 624–634 (Apr. 2001).
- [5] Wittman, D. M., Tyson, J. A., Dell'Antonio, I. P., Becker, A., Margoniner, V., Cohen, J. G., Norman, D., Loomba, and et al., "Deep lens survey", in [Survey and Other Telescope Technologies and Discoveries], Tyson, J. A. and Wolff, S., eds., Proc. SPIE 4836, 73–82 (Dec. 2002).
- [6] Davis, M., Faber, S. M., Newman, J., Phillips, A. C., Ellis, R. S., Steidel, C. C., Conselice, C., Coil, and et al., "Science Objectives and Early Results of the DEEP2 Redshift Survey", in [Discoveries and Research Prospects from 6- to 10-Meter-Class Telescopes II], Guhathakurta, P., ed., Proc. SPIE 4834, 161–172 (Feb. 2003).
- [7] Rix, H.-W., Barden, M., Beckwith, S. V. W., Bell, E. F., Borch, A., Caldwell, J. A. R., Häussler, B., Jahnke, and et al., "GEMS: Galaxy Evolution from Morphologies and SEDs", *ApJS* 152, 163–173 (June 2004).
- [8] Giavalisco, M., Ferguson, H. C., Koekemoer, A. M., Dickinson, M., Alexander, D. M., Bauer, F. E., Bergeron, J., Biagetti, and et al., "The Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey: Initial Results from Optical and Near-Infrared Imaging", ApJ 600, L93–L98 (Jan. 2004).
- [9] Kashikawa, N., Shimasaku, K., Yasuda, N., Ajiki, M., Akiyama, M., Ando, H., Aoki, K., Doi, and et al., "The Subaru Deep Field: The Optical Imaging Data", PASJ 56, 1011–1023 (Dec. 2004).
- [10] Scoville, N. and COSMOS Team, "COSMOS Cosmic Evolution Survey", in [American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts], Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society 36, 1467 (Dec. 2004).
- [11] Vogt, N. P., Koo, D. C., Phillips, A. C., Wu, K., Faber, S. M., Willmer, C. N. A., Simard, L., Weiner, and et al., "The DEEP Groth Strip Survey. I. The Sample", ApJS 159, 41–59 (July 2005).
- [12] Beckwith, S. V. W., Stiavelli, M., Koekemoer, A. M., Caldwell, J. A. R., Ferguson, H. C., Hook, R., Lucas, R. A., Bergeron, and et al., "The Hubble Ultra Deep Field", AJ 132, 1729–1755 (Nov. 2006).
- [13] Cuillandre, J.-C. and Bertin, E., "CFHT Legacy Survey (CFHTLS) : a rich data set", in [SF2A-2006: Semaine de l'Astrophysique Francaise], Barret, D., Casoli, F., Lagache, G., Lecavelier, A., and Pagani, L., eds., 265 (June 2006).
- [14] Davis, M., Guhathakurta, P., Konidaris, N. P., Newman, J. A., Ashby, M. L. N., Biggs, A. D., Barmby, P., Bundy, and et al., "The All-Wavelength Extended Groth Strip International Survey (AEGIS) Data Sets", *ApJ* 660, L1–L6 (May 2007).
- [15] Lawrence, A., Warren, S. J., Almaini, O., Edge, A. C., Hambly, N. C., Jameson, R. F., Lucas, P., Casali, and et al., "The UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS)", MNRAS 379, 1599–1617 (Aug. 2007).
- [16] Bouwens, R. J., Illingworth, G. D., Oesch, P. A., Labbé, I., Trenti, M., van Dokkum, P., Franx, M., Stiavelli, and et al., "Ultraviolet Luminosity Functions from 132 z ~ 7 and z ~ 8 Lyman-break Galaxies in the Ultra-deep HUDF09 and Wide-area Early Release Science WFC3/IR Observations", ApJ 737, 90 (Aug. 2011).
- [17] Koekemoer, A. M., Faber, S. M., Ferguson, H. C., Grogin, N. A., Kocevski, D. D., Koo, D. C., Lai, K., Lotz, and et al., "CANDELS: The Cosmic Assembly Near-infrared Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey: The Hubble Space Telescope Observations, Imaging Data Products, and Mosaics", ApJS 197, 36 (Dec. 2011).
- [18] Koekemoer, A. M., Ellis, R. S., McLure, R. J., Dunlop, J. S., Robertson, B. E., Ono, Y., Schenker, M. A., Ouchi, and et al., "The 2012 Hubble Ultra Deep Field (UDF12): Observational Overview", ApJS 209, 3 (Nov. 2013).
- [19] Illingworth, G. D., Magee, D., Oesch, P. A., Bouwens, R. J., Labbé, I., Stiavelli, M., van Dokkum, P. G., Franx, and et al., "The HST eXtreme Deep Field (XDF): Combining All ACS and WFC3/IR Data on the HUDF Region into the Deepest Field Ever", ApJS 209, 6 (Nov. 2013).

- [20] Gilmozzi, R. and Spyromilio, J., "The European Extremely Large Telescope (E-ELT)", The Messenger 127 (Mar. 2007).
- [21] Johns, M., "The Giant Magellan Telescope (GMT)", in [Extremely Large Telescopes: Which Wavelengths? Retirement Symposium for Arne Ardeberg], Proc. SPIE 6986, 698603 (Apr. 2008).
- [22] Szeto, K., Roberts, S., Gedig, M., Austin, G., Lagally, C., Patrick, S., Tsang, D., MacMynowski, and et al., "TMT telescope structure system: design and development progress report", in [Ground-based and Airborne Telescopes II], Proc. SPIE 7012, 70122G (July 2008).
- [23] Ragazzoni, R., Arcidiacono, C., Dima, M., Farinato, J., Magrin, D., and Viotto, V., "Adaptive optics with solely natural guide stars for an extremely large telescope", in [Adaptive Optics Systems II], Proc. SPIE 7736, 773623 (July 2010).
- [24] Viotto, V., Bergomi, M., Portaluri, E., Dima, M., Farinato, J., Greggio, D., Magrin, D., and Ragazzoni, R., "GMCAO for E-ELT: a feasibility study", in [Adaptive Optics for Extremely Large Telescopes IV (AO4ELT4)], E34 (Oct. 2015).
- [25] Portaluri, E., Viotto, V., Ragazzoni, R., Gullieuszik, M., Bergomi, M., Greggio, D., Biondi, F., Dima, and et al., "The Chandra Deep Field South as a test case for Global Multi Conjugate Adaptive Optics", MNRAS 466, 3569–3581 (Apr. 2017).
- [26] Girardi, L., Groenewegen, M. A. T., Hatziminaoglou, E., and da Costa, L., "Star counts in the Galaxy. Simulating from very deep to very shallow photometric surveys with the TRILEGAL code", A&A 436, 895–915 (June 2005).
- [27] Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., Mignard, F., Drimmel, R., Babusiaux, and et al., "Gaia Data Release 1. Summary of the astrometric, photometric, and survey properties", A&A 595, A2 (Nov. 2016).